

**Judgement cum Complianced Report as on Dated 13-Mar-18**

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
1	<u>LPA 1014/15 in CWP No.25889/12</u> Coordination	Manju Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Biology	09-03-2017	<i>For the reasons afore-stated, the appeal is allowed; the order passed by learned Single Judge is set aside and the appellant's writ petition is accepted with a direction to the authorities to treat her eligible for the post of PGT Biology. In case the appellant, on the basis of her performance in the interview and overall selection criteria has made to the selection list, she shall be offered appointment within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The appellant in that eventuality shall be entitled to notional seniority from the date candidate lower in merit was offered appointment. However, she shall not be paid any arrears of pay. (10) Ordered accordingly</i>	22-05-2017	21-09-2017	Directorate	Communication made to to HSSC vide No.4/365-12 Co(1) dt.13.12.16
2	<u>CWP 1040/14 PGT-I</u>	Parveen Kumar v/s State & ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of PGT English	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as State of Haryana Vs.Gurmail Kaur

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
3	<u>CWP 10476/17</u> Coordination	Wazir Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Pay Fixation	16-05-2017	<i>I find this to be a fair submission. Keeping in view the plight as portrayed, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to the respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice of the petitioners and pass a speaking order thereon within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Ordered accordingly. Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	14-06-2017	13-09-2017	Directorate	
4	<u>CWP 10588/17</u> PGT-I	Gurtej Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Step up of pay	17-05-2017	<i>In sum and substance, the claim of the petitioners in this writ petition is to apply multiplier of 1.86 to the upgraded grade pay/pay-scale as per the formula for pay fixation given in Clause A to Rule 7 of the pay rules notified on 30.12.2008. In short, the petitioners' claim is that they are entitled to step-up their pay at par with their juniors with the applicability of the above-mentioned formula. The above-stated claim has been raised by the petitioners in their legal notice dated 25.01.2017 (P-10) also. In this view of the matter, we dispose of this writ petition without expressing any views on merits, with a direction to the authorities to examine the claim of the petitioners as contained in the above-mentioned legal notice and decide the same by way of reasoned order within a period of six months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.</i>	08-06-2017	07-12-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
5	<u>CWP 1067/14</u> PGT-I	Balbir Singh v/s State & ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of Lect.in Physical Education	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana</b>
6	<u>CWP 10747/17</u> PGT-II	Deepak & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant Higher Pay Scale	30-08-2017	<i>Consequently, without going into merits what is stated in the petition, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-8), dated 12.10.2016, and to decide the same in terms of the judgment of this Court in Neelam Ranis' case (supra), within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. If the petitioners' case is not covered by the aforesaid judgment or any other judgment on the subject, detailed reasons as to why it is not covered, shall be given in the speaking order to be passed. It is also clarified that in case a speaking order has already been passed giving such detailed reasons, then only a short order need be passed in the present petitioners' case, annexing therewith the detailed order already passed (if any).</i>	26-09-2017	25-01-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
7	<u>CWP 10852/99</u> PGT-II	Anant Ram Singla v/s State & Ors.	Reg.promotion as lect.	11-05-2017	<i>Perusal of Annexure R-1, it is evident that the petitioner has been denied the monetary benefits from 1988 to 2000 on the promotion post of Lecturer in Commerce. The concerned respondent is hereby directed to calculate difference of pay from 26.9.1988 to 27.6.2000 and disburse the same within a period of three months from today. With the above observations, petition stands disposed of.</i>	31-05-2017	10-08-2017	Directorate	
8	<u>CWP 1098/16</u> PGT-II	Yashpal Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Challenge the transfer orders	15-02-2016	<i>A perusal of the afore-referred preliminary fact finding inquiry by the DEO, Rohtak shows recommendation of disciplinary proceedings against the Principal and the TGTs mentioned therein. It is directed that the final decision with regard to the same be taken by the competent Authority expeditiously but not later than one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	04-05-2016	03-06-2016	Directorate	
9	<u>CWP 11213/16</u> HR(G-I)	Vandana Gupta v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Challenge the order of stoppage of two increment	28-07-2016	<i>The statutory appeal against the impugned order is pending before the appellate authority in the State Government since 9.12.2015 without any effective orders passed thereon. The petitioner has placed on record the copy of appeal by way of C.M. No.7207 of 2016, which is retained on record. In the circumstances, a direction is issued to the competent authority amongst the respondents to consider and decide the appeal by passing a reasoned order after hearing the petitioner. Let the exercise be done within 6 weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. With the above directions, the petition stands disposed of.</i>	03-08-2016	14-09-2016	Directorate	<b>Heard by W.ACSSE. Record awaited from Smt.Vandana Gupta DEO Jind</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
10	<u>CWP 11214/14</u> HR(G-I)	Dr.Deepak Kapur v/s State & Ors	Reg.Medical Reimbursement	12-07-2016	<i>In view of the above discussion, this petition is partly allowed; the order/letter dated 23.10.2013 (Annexure P-6) is set aside and a direction is given to the respondents to completely reimburse the medical bills pertaining to the treatment of late Mrs. Ved Prabha Kapur at PGIMER, Chandigarh as indoor and outdoor patient as per instructions/letter dated 28.05.2003(Annexure P-11), which provides for complete reimbursement of indoor and outdoor treatment for chronic disease.</i>	08-08-2016		Directorate	LPA filed by the petitioner and same is fixed for hearing on 28.03.18
11	<u>CWP 11233/17</u> PGT-II	Harish Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg. Grant him seniority alongwith monetary benefits as due with interest	22-05-2017	<i>I find this to be a fair submission. In the circumstances, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.3 to decide the said representation by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	19-06-2017	18-09-2017	Directorate	
12	<u>CWP 11576/12</u> T.Edu. Cell	Jyoti Ahlawat v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Allow to appear in the 4th semester of D.Ed course	04-11-2015	<i>Accordingly, the present writ petition is allowed and direction is issued to respondent No.2 to issue the required enrolment number on the request of respondent No.3 dated 09.02.2011 (Annexure R-3/A) and declare the result of the petitioner. Needful be done within a period of one month from the receipt of the certified copy of this order</i>	07-12-2015	06-01-2016	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
13	<u>CWP 11592/13</u> PGT-I	Sukhdev Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant Higher Pay with all consequential benefits i.e. arrears of pay, interest etc	11-07-2016	<i>Consequently, all the seven petitions are allowed. The monetary benefits flowing from this order are directed to be calculated and paid to the petitioner(s) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. The petitioner(s) would be entitled to simple interest at the rate of 6% p.a. on the payment to be disbursed on account of the fact that the Department should have on its own accorded similar treatment to the petitioners in the wake of the decision rendered in Neelam Rani's case.</i>	03-08-2016	02-11-2016	Directorate	
14	<u>CWP 11692/02</u> HR(G-II)	Shiv Charan v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment as H.M	02-06-2017	<i>Accordingly, Annexures P-6 and P-9 are set aside. Concerned respondent is hereby directed to re-consider the petitioner's claim for selection and appointment to the post of Head Master notionally within a period of three months from today. Petition stands allowed accordingly.</i>	28-07-2017	01-09-2017	Directorate	LPA No.1943/17 has been filed by HSSC and same is fixed for 27.02.18

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
15	<u>CWP 11869/00</u> HR(AS)	Girwar Singh v/s State & Ors.	Quashing of termination order passed by Management	19-07-2013	<i>The petitioner is entitled to give his salary particulars from the date of his termination of service till the age of superannuation and send a communication through a registered post making a demand for the amount which is due and payable with a copy mark to the State functionary as well. On such receipt, the respondent-Management shall pay the amount after satisfying itself about the correctness of the minimum scale applicable. The amounts shall be released with interest at 9% from the respective date when they fell due till the date of payment. The State shall also ensure that the amount is duly released within the period as stipulated and secure compliance report from the school. The State shall also be at liberty to take appropriate action for the misappropriation of funds that is clearly evident from the facts disclosed in this writ petition. It shall also be ensured that if the amounts are not paid, appropriate action as provided under the Act for non-compliance of direction of statutory breach is taken against the management. 7. Both the writ petitions are ordered as above.</i>	23-08-2013		Directorate	Compliance to be made by school management. Written to DEO Y.Ngr and management on 19.12.14, 01.1.15, 28.01.15 and 16.07.15 for compliance. Review petition filed by the school management pending in High Court for 28.11.17
16	<u>CWP 11940/15</u> PGT-I	Shri Bhagwan v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	30-07-2015	<i>Be that as it may, once it is accepted that the facts of the present case are covered by Subhash Chand's case (supra), the present writ petition is disposed of in the same terms. Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	07-09-2015		Directorate	The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
17	<u>CWP 11976/16</u> HR(PS)	Geeta vVidya Mandir School, Shahbad, Markanda v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the letter dt.21.3.16 (Annexure P+8) wherein direction has been issued by respondent No.3, not to make any admission from the Academic Session 2016-17	28-06-2016	<p><i>Counsel appearing for the writ petitioner submits that the writ petition itself may be directed to be treated as a representation of the petitioner and a direction may be issued to the respondents to consider the representation and pass an appropriate orders within the time frame fixed by this Court.</i></p> <p><i>In view of the above limited prayer sought for by the counsel for the petitioner, the respondents are directed to treat the writ petition itself as representation of the petitioner-institution and dispose it within two months from the date of receipt of the order.</i></p> <p><i>The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i></p>	22-07-2016	21-09-2016	Directorate	First Land is be provided by M.C shahabad to the school. Report awaited and reminder also has been issued on 06.06.17 to Director Urban Local Bodies and DEO Kurukshetra



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
18	<u>CWP 12151/11</u> HR(PS)	Saraswati Vidya Mandir Sr.Sec.School Siha v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Permanent Recognition	18-10-2012	<p><i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.10273 of 2010 (Modern Hind Senior Secondary School, village Khori Lalan, Tauru, District Mewat Vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on October 18,2012.</i></p> <p><i>ORDER OF CWP NO.10273 OF 2010</i></p> <p><i>The students, who have been admitted without any fault on their part, cannot be made to suffer for this illegal action of the petitioner schools. Accordingly, their result which has been declared is ordered to be regularised but this is no reason to permit the petitioner schools to escape responsibility for this action which is required to be curbed so as to avoid its reoccurrence in future by any Institution. The case, thus, is made out to burden the petitioners-Institutions with costs of `6,000/- per students admitted by petitioner -institutions in those classes for which the petitioner-institutions did not have recognition or affiliation from the competent authority as is required by the statute. Half of the cost imposed shall be deposited in the accounts of Legal Services Authority, Haryana and the remaining half shall be deposited in the Medication and Conciliation Cell functioning in this Court. The writ petitions otherwise are disposed of with the above directions.The copy of the order be sent to the Director, School Education, Haryana, for ensuring compliance of the order.</i></p> <p><i>The copy be also forwarded to the Advocate General, Haryana to ensure that the orders are properly complied with and implemented to recover the costs/damages and these are deposited in the appropriate</i></p>	29-11-2012		Directorate	Matter is being re-examined with regard to be students admitted by the schools.

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
					<i>head as directed above.</i>				
19	<u>CWP 12198/16</u> PGT-II	Usha Verma v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of PGT Hindi	22-08-2016	<i>In the light of the facts and circumstance noticed herein above, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant petition in terms of granting the liberty to the petitioner to approach respondent No.2 as regards her claim and grievance. In the eventuality of any such representation being filed, respondent No.2 would be obligated to consider the same strictly in accordance with law and to dispose of the same by passing a well reasoned speaking order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Disposed of.</i>	27-09-2016	26-01-2017	Directorate	No representation has been received from the petitioner till 01.12.17
20	<u>CWP 12527/15</u> HR(G-II)	Ravi Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg. Disciplinary Proceeding against the petitioner for providing bogus experience certificate of Hindi Teacher	02-05-2016	<i>In view of the above discussion, the impugned show cause notice dated 27.05.2015/29.02.2015 (Annexure P-7) is set aside. However, a liberty is granted to the respondents to proceed further and pass fresh order after affording proper opportunity of hearing to the petitioner as per law. I(n case, any enquiry has to be conducted, the enquiry report should be made available before giving any fresh show cause notice to the petitioner. It is also made clear that in case, any adverse order is passed against the petitioner, he will be at liberty to avail appropriate remedy. Allowed in the aforesaid terms.</i>	10-08-2016		Directorate	Sh.Roshan Lal, Retd. HCS has been appointed for conduct inquiry. The inquiry report is put up to Higher Authority. A photocopy of the Enquiry Report sent to the applicant on 30.05.17 and asked the petitioner for submit his reply. Reply received and file is being put up

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
21	<u>CWP 1264/18</u> AaroHi Cell	Krishan Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of PGT Computer Science	22-01-2018	<i>In response, learned State counsel submits that the grievances of the petitioners as also the legal notice, dated 28.11.2017 (Annexure P-5), shall be considered and dealt with by the respondents, within a period of six weeks from today. And, appropriate orders in accordance with law shall be passed and communicated to the petitioners. That being so, the petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms.</i>	26-02-2018	25-02-2018	Directorate	
22	<u>CWP 12697/15</u> PGT-I	Kulwant Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	30-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.7626 of 2015 (Gurmail Kaur &amp; others Versus State of Haryana &amp; another), decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	23-07-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana</b>
23	<u>CWP 12849/15</u> PGT-I	Parveen Bala v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Economics	02-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	23-07-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana</b>
24	<u>CWP 12853/15</u> PGT-I	Meena Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	02-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	23-07-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
25	<u>CWP 12879/14</u> PGT-I	Ritu Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment/S election for the post of PGT Hindi	13-11-2017	<p><i>For order, see judgment of even date passed in CWP No.25682 of 2014, Arun Singh &amp; others vs. State of Haryana &amp; another.</i></p> <p><i>ORDER IN CWP NO.25682/14</i></p> <p><i>Resultantly, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioners would be entitled for appointment against the vacant seats which were never consumed being in the zone of consideration and accordingly, the writ petitions are allowed. The petitioners shall be offered appointment letters and the State should operate the merit list in the respective categories in the subjects of English, Hindi, and Biology. In case there are persons senior in merit than the petitioners, they will firstly be offered the said posts and in case the vacancies still exist, the petitioners will be accommodated. The necessary exercise be concluded within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the judgment.</i></p>	27-12-2017	26-02-2018	Directorate	
26	<u>CWP 12906/15</u> PGT-I	Jagrati Lunial v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	02-07-2015	<p><i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i></p>	30-07-2015		Directorate	<p><b>Deemed University Matter</b>  <b>The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana</b></p>
27	<u>CWP 12915/17</u> PGT-I	Kharak Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Step up of pay	02-06-2017	<p><i>In this view of the matter, we dispose of this writ petition without expressing any views on merits, with a direction to the authorities to examine the claim of the petitioners as contained in the above-mentioned legal notice and decide the same by way of reasoned order within a period of six months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.</i></p>	16-06-2017	15-12-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
28	<u>CWP 12936/15</u> PGT-I	Banke Behari v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGTHindi	02-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	07-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
29	<u>CWP 12937/15</u> PGT-I	Anita Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	02-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	07-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
30	<u>CWP 12947/15</u> PGT-I	Vijay Kumari v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT English	02-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	07-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
31	<u>CWP 12973/05</u> Coordination	Jasbir Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant all benefits of such merger including seniority, avenue of promotion, parity in pay scales etc.	02-02-2017	<i>Consequently, the present petition is disposed of in the same terms as order Annexure P-6. The petitioners are entitled to 38 months arrears as well prior to filing of the present petition. The respondents are directed to release the amount/s due to the petitioners within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, failing which, the petitioners would be entitled to interest @ 12% per annum from the date/s the amount/s fell due. Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Miscellaneous Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	25-04-2017	24-07-2017	Directorate	File sent to F.D
32	<u>CWP 13064/15</u> T.Edu. Cell	Kartar Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Closing of Block Institutes of Teacher Education	03-07-2015	<i>The petitioner, who is a teacher and resident of District Sirsa, has filed this petition challenging the decision of the State Government to close down Block Institutes for Teacher Education (BITE) at Ambala and Sirsa, with effect from 30.06.2015. In this regard, the petitioner has also submitted a detailed representation dated 19.06.2015 to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Haryana, copy of which has been annexed with the petition as Annexure P-22. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, without issuing notice of motion as it will un-necessary delay the matter, we deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to the Chief Secretary to Government of Haryana, to consider and decide the said representation in accordance with law, expeditiously, keeping in view the needs of the area. Ordered accordingly.</i>	20-07-2015		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
33	<u>CWP 13339/15</u> PGT-I	Hardhyan Singh & Anr. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	07-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	23-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
34	<u>CWP 13521/15</u> PGT-I	Manju Lata v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	09-07-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.7626 of 2015 (Gurmail Kaur &amp; others Versus State of Haryana &amp; another), decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	07-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
35	<u>CWP 13544/10</u> PGT-II	Ravinder Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Release of Salary with 18% interest alongwith all consequential benefits	30-07-2015	<i>It is apparent from the facts as mentioned above that there was no fault on the part of the petitioner and the petitioner has been harassed by sending him at different places where post was not vacant. The absence from duty cannot be attributed to the petitioner in any manner and as such, the present writ petition is allowed and the petitioner is held entitled to the salary for the period, he has been treated as absent from his duties and the respondents are directed to release the salary and to regularize the petitioner for that period.</i>	17-09-2015		Directorate	File submitted to F.D

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
36	<u>CWP 1368/12</u> HR(ME)	Virender Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Pay Scale w.e.f 27.04.08 instead of 21.04.11	20-04-2017	<p><i>Short question for consideration in the present petition is whether the petitioner could be promoted to the post of Superintendent w.e.f. 26.04.2008 or not? The method of recruitment to the post of Superintendent grade is from Deputy Superintendent grade with 3 years of experience. The petitioner is stated to have been promoted to the post of Deputy Superintendent on 27.04.2005. He would be completing 3 years of service as Deputy Superintendent on 26.04.2008. However, it is to be noted that on 29.09.2006 itself Superintendent post was available. Consequently, the petitioner was promoted to the post of Superintendent on his own pay scale (Deputy Superintendent). For want of experience qualification of 3 years in the Deputy Superintendent between 29.09.2006 to 21.04.2011, the petitioner has not been displaced from the post of Superintendent. In other words, the petitioner continued to hold the post of Superintendent between 29.09.2006 to 21.04.2011. Therefore, the date of promotion to the post of Superintendent is required to be rectified from 21.04.2011 to 26.04.2008, the date on which the petitioner has completed 3 years of service in the cadre of Deputy Superintendent for the purpose of promotion to the post of Superintendent. Accordingly, the competent authority is hereby directed to rectify the date of promotion to the post of Superintendent from 21.04.2011 to 26.04.2008 and further extend the consequential benefits including the monetary benefits from 26.04.2008 in the cadre of Superintendent.</i></p> <p><i>6. Above exercise shall be completed by the concerned respondent within a period of 3</i></p>	08-06-2017	19-07-2017	Directorate	LPA filed



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
					<i>months from today. 7. CWP stands allowed.</i>				
37	<u>CWP 13774/15</u> PGT-I	Anindita v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	21-07-2015	<i>The present writ petition is allowed, in terms of order dated 27.05.2015 passed in CWP No.7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others vs. State of Haryana and another.</i>	26-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
38	<u>CWP 13876/15</u> PGT-I	Parveen Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	21-07-2015	<i>The present writ petition is allowed, in terms of order dated 27.05.2015 passed in CWP No.7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others vs. State of Haryana and another.</i>	28-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
39	<u>CWP 14266/11</u> PEN-II	Mange Ram Saini v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Retiral Benefits	14-05-2015	<i>Keeping in view the prayer made in the petition, the present petition is allowed with a direction to the competent authority, to consider and decide the case of the petitioner, in terms of judgment in LPA No.1169 of 2012 titled as 'State of Haryana and another Vs. Harbans Lal and others', decided on 08.10.2012, by passing a speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. 14.05.2015</i>	19-06-2015	18-10-2015	Directorate	Recalling order by filing CM No.10564/15 and same is fixed for hearing on 20.11.15 and Hon'ble Court granted stay stay till the decision of SLP No.38930/15

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
40	<u>CWP 14315/17</u> HR(ME)	Satpal Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant the benefit of seniority and notional pay fixatio	21-07-2017	<i>Without commenting on the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioner for the above said relief and keeping in view the fact that similarly situated persons are stated to have been given the said benefit of the deemed dated from 12.04.1990, the present writ petition is disposed of with direction to respondent no. 2 to take a decision on the said representation dated 10.09.2015 (Annexure P-6) within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case the petitioner is entitled for the said benefit, the order will be given effect expeditiously. Needless to say if the benefit is to be declined, a reasoned order be passed and the same be conveyed to the petitioner.</i>	30-08-2017	29-11-2017	Directorate	<b>13/172-17 ME(4) File put up to Higher Authority for decision</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
41	<u>CWP 14416/17</u> PGT-II	Manju Dua v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	31-07-2017	<p><i>In view of the fact that no instructions have been received by learned State counsel, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.3, i.e. the Director, Secondary Education, Haryana, to look into the grievance of the petitioner and to take a decision on her representation dated 24.04.2017 (Annexure P-9), within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, though the said representation is actually addressed to respondent no.2, i.e. the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of School Education. If respondent no.3 is not the competent authority to take a decision, then obviously respondent no.2 would take a decision on the aforesaid representation, within the aforesaid stipulated period.</i></p> <p><i>A short span of time for decision on the representation is being given, in view of the fact that obviously the children in the school need to have a teacher posted there.</i></p> <p><i>However, till the decision is taken, the post shall not be filled up and if the decision is taken adverse to the petitioner, it shall still not be filled up for a further period of 5 days after the order of the competent authority is served upon the petitioner.</i></p>	22-09-2017	07-10-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
42	<u>CWP 14622/15</u> HR(ME)	Sita Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	18-01-2017	<i>Admittedly, the petitioner was initially appointed as a part time employee and her services were not regularized on par with similarly situated persons. Thus, the petitioner filed a petition before this court. This court directed the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner pursuant to the orders of this court dated 04.05.2012 passed in CWP No.8260 of 2012. The respondents have regularized the services of the petitioner w.e.f. 08.05.2003. Denial of arrears from 08.05.2003 till the date of order of regularization is highly arbitrary for the reasons that an employee would get all service benefits from the date of regularization of her service. Contention of the respondents that there were no sanctioned post as on 08.05.2003. If it is so question of regularization would not have arisen as on 08.05.2003. Therefore, denial of arrears from 08.05.2003 till 11.02.2013 is highly arbitrary. Thus, the portion of denial of arrears to the petitioner in Annexure P5 dated 05.03.2014 is set aside. The concerned respondent is directed to calculate arrears of pay from 08.05.2003 to 11.02.2013 and disburse the same to the petitioner within a period of 6 months from today. CWP is allowed.</i>	27-02-2017	17-07-2017	Directorate	LPA filed by DEO sonapat
43	<u>CWP 14953/15</u> PGT-I	Jaspal Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for teh post of PGT Hindi	24-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	30-09-2015		Directorate	The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs.State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
44	<u>CWP 14992/13</u> HR(G-II)	Smt.Kamla Malik & Anr. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of Headmaster High School	27-04-2017	<i>Accordingly, these 5 petitions are disposed of. The concerned respondent is hereby directed to calculate and disburse the arrears of pay to the petitioner within a period of 3 months from today pursuant to the order dated 26.4.2017.</i>	17-05-2017	16-08-2017	Directorate	Write to DEO Rohtak, Ambala, Gurgaon, Fatehabad, Rewari, Jind vide letter dated 19.06.17 & 25.07.17. The concerned DEO's to calculate and disburse the arrear of pay within a week

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
45	<u>CWP 15072/13</u> HR(G-I)	Shanta Kumari Narang v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of Principal	02-06-2017	<p><i>Petitioner's grievance is relating to promotion to the post of Principal in HES-II Cadre. Petitioner's name was not considered for promotion on 20.7.2007 for want of service records. In this regard, respondent-Department have stated in their reply statement that they have asked for annual confidential report of the petitioner from the Chandigarh Administration since petitioner was on deputation as on 25.7.2007.</i></p> <p><i>Whereas, the Chandigarh Administration have disputed the communication dated 25.7.2007 stating that no such letter has been received by the office of Chandigarh Administration or concerned Principal, Government Senior Secondary School, Sector 35-D, Chandigarh. Petitioner is stated to have attained age of superannuation and retired from service. In view of the above facts and c i r c u m s t a n c e s S t a t e Government-Commissioner and Secretary to Government of Haryana Education Department is hereby directed to set right the petitioner's service record and consider petitioner's name for promotion to the post of Principal in HES-II Cadre for officiating capacity from 20.7.2007 and extend all service benefits including monetary benefits during the intervening period from 20.07.2007 till petitioner attains age of superannuation and retired from service. The above exercise shall be completed within a period of 3 months from today. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.</i></p>	03-08-2017	01-09-2017	Directorate	LPA is being filed

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
46	<u>CWP 15447/17</u> HR(ME)	Prithavi Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	18-07-2017	<i>In view of the above, without making any comment on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the official respondents to take a decision by passing a speaking order on merits, in respect of the aforesaid legal notice, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	01-08-2017	30-11-2017	Directorate	
47	<u>CWP 155/16</u> PGT-II	Haryana Vidhyalaya Adhyapak Sangh,Ratia v/s Sttate & Ors	Reg.For making promotions of Masters (TGT) to the post of Lecturer (PGT) who are eligible under the rules which were applicable at the relevant time when the post of promotional quota came in existece	12-01-2016	<i>Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 25.08.2015 (Annexure P-11), issued on behalf of the petitioner, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, the petitioner would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.</i>	09-02-2016	08-06-2016	Directorate	<b>Matter under consideration for the claim of promotion as per Rule 1998. Personal hearing given to the petitioner by W.DSE for 17.06.16</b>
48	<u>CWP 1556/14</u> PGT-I	Rajesh Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Physics	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
49	<u>CWP 15588/15</u> T.Edu. Cell	Sri Hari Krishan Education Society v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Permission for D.Ed Courses	13-07-2015	<i>In view of the aforesaid submissions and prayer made by the petitioner, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the prayer of the petitioner, which is still pending with it, having been sent by respondent No.3, within a period of seven days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law. Disposed of.</i>	13-08-2015	20-08-2015	Directorate	
50	<u>CWP 15605/15</u> PGT-I	Seema v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT English	31-07-2015	<i>The present writ petition is allowed, in terms of judgment dated 04.06.2015 rendered in CWP No.5781 of 2015 titled as Subhash Chand vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	18-08-2015		Directorate	<b>The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana</b>
51	<u>LPA 1561/16 in CWP No.16274/16</u> PGT-II	Sunil Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer of Guest Faculty	26-08-2016	<i>Though the permission, if any granted by the Competent Authority for joining LLB degree is not on record, nevertheless, we are of the considered view that transfer being an incidence of service, learned Single Judge has rightly declined to interfere with the same. However, the appellant may approach the Competent Authority and make out a case based upon the mitigating circumstances, including the utility of LLB degree with reference to the nature of duties he is required to perform. We have no reason to doubt that the Competent Authority shall sympathetically consider the appellant's request. It shall be appreciated if the decision is taken within a period of one month from the date of making a request by the appellant. Ordered accordingly.</i>	19-09-2016		Directorate	



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
52	<u>CWP 1567/17</u> PGT-I	Om Parkash & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Refixation/ACP	30-01-2017	<i>In my opinion, it would be appropriate if the present writ petition is treated as representation and respondent No.2 decides the same by passing speaking order within two months from today. Ordered accordingly. However, it is made clear that till the passing of the speaking order, recovery shall not be made from the petitioners. Disposed of accordingly. Copy of this order be given dasti to the learned State counsel under the signatures of Reader/Bench Secretary.</i>	06-02-2017	29-03-2017	Directorate	Speaking orders are being passed
53	<u>CWP 15725/17</u> PGT-I	Pinki & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Step-up of their pay	20-07-2017	<i>Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the legal notice of the petitioners (Annexure P-6), dated 22.11.2016, in terms of the judgment of this Court in Neelam Rani (supra) within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. If the petitioners' case is not covered by the aforesaid judgment or any other judgment on the subject, detailed reasons as to why it is not covered, shall be given in the speaking order to be passed. It is also clarified that in case a speaking order has already been passed giving such detailed reasons, then only a short order need be passed now, annexing therewith detailed order already passed (if any).</i>	22-08-2017	21-12-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
54	<u>CWP 1573/15</u> PGT-I	Surinder Kaur & Anr. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Punjabi & Mathematics	29-01-2015	<i>After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners, however, without opining on the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to take a final decision on the show cause notices issued to the petitioners, to which they have already filed replies, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of the order.</i>	10-02-2015	09-04-2015	Directorate	13/24-15 HRL-I(4) Report from DVC awaited
55	<u>CWP 15875/14</u> PGT-II	Seema Chaudhary v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	04-05-2016	<i>In view of the above, at this stage, the present petition is disposed of by giving directions to the respondents to make an attempt to adjust the petitioner at Government Senior Secondary School, Sector 19, Panchkula as per the instructions dated 4.5.2016 and further the petitioner shall be retained in Government Model Senior Secondary School, Sector 15, Panchkula till the adjustment is made.</i>	17-05-2016		Directorate	File put up to the Competent Authority for adjustment of the petitioner
56	<u>CWP 15901/14</u> HR(G-II)	Lajpat Rai, Lect.in Economics v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of Headmaster High School	27-04-2017	<i>For orders, see judgment passed in CWP No.14992 of 2013 titled Smt. Kamla Malik and another Vs. The State of Haryana and another of even date.</i>	17-05-2017	16-08-2017	Directorate	Write to DEO Rohtak, Ambala, Gurgaon, Fatehabad, Rewari, Jind vide letter dated 19.06.17 & 25.07.17. The concerned DEO's to calculate and disburse the arrear of pay within a week

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
57	<u>CWP 15967/05</u> Coordination	Dilawar Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant all benefits of such merger including seniority, avenue of promotion, parity in pay scales etc.	02-02-2017	<i>Consequently, the present petition is disposed of in the same terms as order Annexure P-5. The petitioners are entitled to 38 months arrears as well prior to filing of the present petition. The respondents are directed to release the amount/s due to the petitioners within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, failing which, the petitioners would be entitled to interest @ 12% per annum from the date/s the amount/s fell due. Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Miscellaneous Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	25-04-2017	24-07-2017	Directorate	File sent to F.D
58	<u>CWP 15997/00</u> PGT-II	Amrik Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of Lecturer in Punjabi	27-01-2017	<i>Concerned respondents are directed to verify the service particulars of the petitioners as well as juniors to the petitioners and if the petitioners are otherwise eligible to the post of Lecturer in Punjabi, their name shall be considered for promotion to the post of Lecturer in Punjabi from the date of juniors' promotion i.e. 24.3.1998. The above exercise shall be completed within a period of four months from today. Further consequential benefits including monetary benefits i.e. difference of pay shall be extended to the petitioners. Disposed of in the above terms.</i>	14-03-2017	26-05-2017	Directorate	
59	<u>CWP 16096/17</u> HR(AS)	Tafajjul v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Withdraw money from petitioner C.P.F account for construction of house.	27-10-2017	<i>Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-2), dated 25.5.2017, by passing a speaking order, within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	20-11-2017	19-01-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
60	<u>CWP 1610/05</u> HR(G-I)	Sudesh Sehgal v/s State & Ors.		16-02-2018	<i>In the light of what has been discussed above, the petition is disposed of with the direction to the respondents to consider the bills submitted by the petitioner in the light of instructions so far it pertains to the period prior to the implementation of Rules 2001 and to consider the other bills within the purview of Rules 2001, within a period of two months and if the concerned authority comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the reimbursement of medical bills or to partial amount thereof, the same shall be calculated and disbursed to the petitioner within a period of next 1 month. In the event of non-compliance of this order, petitioner shall be entitled to interest @ 9% per annum from the date of filing of instant petition till actual payment.</i>	05-03-2018	15-04-2018	Directorate	
61	<u>CWP 16132/14</u> HR(G-II)	Virender Singh, Lect.in.History v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of Headmaster High Schools	27-04-2017	<i>For orders, see judgment passed in CWP No.14992 of 2013 titled Smt. Kamla Malik and another Vs. The State of Haryana and another of even date.</i>	17-05-2017	16-08-2017	Directorate	<b>Write to DEO Rohtak, Ambala, Gurgaon, Fatehabad, Rewari, Jind vide letter dated 19.06.17 &amp; 25.07.17. The concerned DEO's to calculate and disburse the arrear of pay within a week</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
62	<u>CWP 16204/17</u> HR(ME)	Surjit Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	26-07-2017	<i>Without commenting upon the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioners for the above said relief and keeping in view the fact that the matter is still pending consideration, the present writ petition is disposed of, with direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 25.03.2017 (Annexure P-5), within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Needless to say if the benefit is to be declined, a reasoned order be passed and the same be conveyed to the petitioners. It is made clear that if any adverse action is to be taken against the said juniors, they would, accordingly, be issued notice before withdrawal of any benefits.</i>	06-09-2017	05-12-2017	Directorate	13/149-17 ME(4)
63	<u>CWP 16275/17</u> HR(ME)	Puran Mal & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	26-07-2017	<i>Without commenting upon the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioners for the abovesaid relief and keeping in view the fact that the matter is still pending consideration, the present writ petition is disposed of, with direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 16.03.2017 (Annexure P-7), within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Needless to say if the benefit is to be declined, a reasoned order be passed and the same be conveyed to the petitioners. It is made clear that in view of the decision, if any adverse action is to be taken against the private-respondents No.4 &amp; 5, they would, accordingly, be issued notice before withdrawal of any benefits</i>	29-08-2017	28-11-2017	Directorate	Various letters issued to DEO Bhiwani but no record received so far

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
64	<u>CWP 16277/13</u> HR(AS)	Rani Devi Gupta v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Charge of Principal and DDO power	28-07-2017	<i>Counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the only grievance would be as to whether the petitioner would be entitled for the benefits of promotion for the prior period. He submits that he would file a detailed representation as such with the respondents, who could take into consideration the same and take a decision on the same within a period of three months from the date of the receipt of the said request. Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of in the above said terms</i>	08-09-2017	07-12-2017	Directorate	
65	<u>CWP 16495/15</u> PGT-I	Surender Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	18-08-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	24-08-2015		Directorate	<b>The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana</b>
66	<u>CWP 16569/17</u> HR(G-I)	Kulbhushan Sharma & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant benefit of Selection Grade w.e.f. 01.82000 instead of 01.01.96	28-07-2017	<i>Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents herein to take a decision on the aforesaid legal notice, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	13-09-2017	12-12-2017	Directorate	<b>Claim has been accepted and DEO,s are being directed to release the benefits.</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
67	<u>CWP 16588/17</u> HR(ME)	Sushila Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Services which date of her juniors were regularized	04-08-2017	<i>Without commenting on the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioner for the above said relief and keeping in view the fact that the matter is still pending consideration and it has been alleged that juniors have been regularized, the present writ petition is disposed of with direction to respondent no. 3 to take a decision on the said legal notice dated 27.04.2017 (Annexure P-6) within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Needless to say if the benefit is to be declined, a reasoned order be passed and the same be conveyed to the petitioner.</i>	18-10-2017	17-01-2018	Directorate	13/213-17 Noting approved,order may be issued shortly
68	<u>CWP 1699/18</u> HR(G-I)	Kunwal Pal Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Benefits of selection grade of Rs. 7500-12000 w.e.f. 01.01.96 instead of 01.08.2000	25-01-2018	<i>Without making any comment on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 9.11.2017(Annexure P-10) by passing a speaking order after hearing the petitioners or their representatives within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case the benefit is granted, there will be no need to pass a speaking order and the claim can be decided by passing office order. In case an adverse order is proposed then necessity of hearing will arise.</i>	19-02-2018	18-05-2018	Directorate	
69	<u>Execution</u> <u>17/12 in CWP</u> <u>No.7978/08</u> HR(AS)	Rajinder Kumar Saroha v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Suspension	29-11-2017	<i>The petitioner may file the application within a period of 4 weeks from today and on the receipt of the comments, the exercise be completed within a period of 3 months, thereafter. With the above said liberty, the present execution application is disposed of.</i>	19-12-2017	18-04-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
70	<u>CWP 17329/13</u> PEN-II	Madan Lal Makkar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Revised Pensionary Benefits	14-05-2015	<i>Keeping in view the prayer made in the petition, the present petition is allowed with a direction to the competent authority, to consider and decide the case of the petitioner in terms of judgment in LPA No.1169 of 2012 titled as State of Haryana and another Vs. Harbans Lal and others decided on 08.10.2012 by passing a speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.</i>	17-06-2015	16-10-2015	Directorate	Recalling order by filing CM No.11063/15 and same is fixed for hearing on 05.11.15 and Hon'ble Court granted stay till the decision of SLP No.38930/15
71	<u>CWP 17453/17</u> HR(ME)	Ram Niwas v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Ante dated appointment	08-08-2017	<i>Without commenting on the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioner for the above said relief and keeping in view the fact that similarly situated persons are stated to have been given the said benefit of the deemed date from 12.04.1990, the present writ petition is disposed of with direction to respondent no. 2 to take a decision on the said representation dated 28.10.2015 (Annexure P-1) within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case the petitioner is entitled for the said benefit, the order will be given effect expeditiously. Needless to say if the benefit is to be declined, a reasoned order be passed and the same be conveyed to the petitioner.</i>	06-10-2017	05-01-2018	Directorate	13/210-17 ME(4). File put up to Higher Authority for decision
72	<u>CWP 1760/14</u> PGT-I	Ravinder Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT English	27-05-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.7626 of 2015 (Gurmail Kaur &amp; others Versus State of Haryana &amp; another), decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	21-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
73	<u>CWP 17601/17</u> HR(G-I)	Shyam Sunder Ahuja v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of Principal	29-08-2017	<i>Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the aforesaid legal notice of the petitioners, by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	22-09-2017	21-12-2017	Directorate	Matter is under consideration
74	<u>CWP 17606/16</u> PGT-II	Dr.Sunil Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	29-08-2016	<i>The Director General School Education will look into this grievance within two or three days from the date of receipt of the order and take a fair and just decision on the representation on this special feature which constitutes the ground of challenge. Disposed of.</i>	14-09-2016	17-09-2016	Directorate	
75	<u>CWP 17609/17</u> PGT-II	Sewa Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Count previous service rendered in Department of Education, Arunachal Pradesh for the purpose of pensionary benefits	23-08-2017	<i>Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated June 09, 2017 (Annexure P-5) and take a conscious decision within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order in accordance with law by passing a speaking order; that too after hearing the petitioner. In case the authority comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief(s) claimed by him, the arrears thereof, shall stand restricted to a period of 38 months prior to the date of filing of instant writ petition, in view of above-said discussion, as well as the dictum laid down in the afore-said judgments. However, in case the petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by the authorities concerned, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.</i>	26-09-2017	25-01-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
76	<u>CWP 17719/14</u> HR(G-I)	Sandeep Kumar & Ors. v/s iState & Ors.	Reg.Consequential benefits of promotion including arrears of pay which were payable to the said Sh.Ved Pal Singh	02-07-2015	<i>Consequently, this writ petition is allowed and the respondents are directed to work out arrears and pay the same to the petitioner within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, failing which the petitioner would be entitled to claim the same with interest @ 8% p.a from the date/s the same fell due till the date of payment.</i>	24-08-2015	23-11-2015	Directorate	LPA No.214/16 has been filed and admitted. To be heard within one year. Stayed the impugned order
77	<u>CWP 17743/16</u> PGT-II	Pawan Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	30-08-2016	<i>The Director General School Education will look into this grievance within two or three days from the date of receipt of the order and take a fair and just decision on the representation on this special feature which constitutes the ground of challenge. Disposed of.</i>	06-09-2016	09-09-2016	Directorate	
78	<u>CWP 17945/10</u> PEN-I	Sushila Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Compansate Appointment	10-03-2017	<i>In the circumstances, it has to be held that case of the petitioner would have to be considered under 2006 Rules. In normal circumstances, I would have asked the litigants to exercise their option under 2006 Rules but since an amount of Rs.2.5 lacs has already been granted to the petitioner and her son, it would have to be considered that they had exercised option of lumpsum payment and therefore would be entitled to further payment of Rs.2.5 lacs in equal share and that amount be paid to the petitioner and her son within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, failing which, the petitioner and her son would be entitled to claim interest thereon @ 12% p.a. from today. Petition stands disposed of. Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	03-04-2017	02-06-2017	Directorate	BEO Jind has been filed LPA No.1350 of 2017 and fixed for hearing 19.03.18

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
79	<u>CWP 18010/17</u> HR(G-II)	Balbir Singh Sheoran & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant of Additional Increment	17-08-2017	<i>Accordingly, instant petition is treated as representation on behalf of the petitioners and is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in the instant petition (representation) and decide the same particularly in view of Government of Haryana Circular dated 24.04.2014 bearing Memo No.10/01/2014-3GSII (Annexure P-1), within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.</i>	26-09-2017	25-12-2017	Directorate	
80	<u>CWP 18030/17</u> HR(G-II)	Dinesh Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of Headmaster High School from the post of Middle Head	16-08-2017	<i>Without commenting on the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioner for the abovesaid relief and keeping in view the fact that the matter is still pending consideration, the present writ petition is disposed of with direction to respondent no. 2 to take a decision on the said legal notice dated 06.04.2017 (Annexure P-4) within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Needless to say if the benefit is to be declined, a reasoned order be passed and the same be conveyed to the petitioner.</i>	22-09-2017	21-12-2017	Directorate	File under process

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
81	<u>CWP 18183/15</u> PGT-II	Ravinder Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Pay Fixation	31-08-2015	<p>Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2</p> <p>to take decision on the legal notice dated 05.03.2015 (Annexures P-7) issued on behalf of the petitioner by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</p> <p>Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.</p>	15-09-2015	14-01-2016	Directorate	<p>13/166-15 HRL-II(3)</p> <p>File put up to Higher Authority for compliance. Matter under consideration for seniority</p>
82	<u>CWP 18230/17</u> PGT-II	Sudhir Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Period Condoned	04-09-2017	<p>Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 16.01.2017 (Annexure P-7), within a period of 3 months. In case any adverse order is to be passed, same should contain reasons and be conveyed to the petitioner.</p>	20-09-2017	19-12-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
83	<u>CWP 18496/14</u> PGT-I	Parveen Kumari v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment/S election for the post of PGT Hindi	13-11-2017	<i>Resultantly, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioners would be entitled for appointment against the vacant seats which were never consumed being in the zone of consideration and accordingly, the writ petitions are allowed. The petitioners shall be offered appointment letters and the State should operate the merit list in the respective categories in the subjects of English, Hindi, and Biology. In case there are persons senior in merit than the petitioners, they will firstly be offered the said posts and in case the vacancies still exist, the petitioners will be accommodated. The necessary exercise be concluded within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the judgment.</i>	04-12-2017	03-02-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
84	<u>CWP 18496/14</u> PGT-I	Parveen Kumari v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment/S election for the post of PGT Hindi	13-11-2017	<p><i>For order, see judgment of even date passed in CWP No.25682 of 2014, Arun Singh &amp; others vs. State of Haryana &amp; another.</i></p> <p><i>ORDER IN CWP NO.25682/14</i></p> <p><i>Resultantly, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioners would be entitled for appointment against the vacant seats which were never consumed being in the zone of consideration and accordingly, the writ petitions are allowed. The petitioners shall be offered appointment letters and the State should operate the merit list in the respective categories in the subjects of English, Hindi, and Biology. In case there are persons senior in merit than the petitioners, they will firstly be offered the said posts and in case the vacancies still exist, the petitioners will be accommodated. The necessary exercise be concluded within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the judgment.</i></p>	27-12-2017	26-02-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
85	<u>CWP 18551/14</u> PGT-I	Geetu v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Skt.	13-11-2017	<p><i>For order, see judgment of even date passed in CWP No.25682 of 2014, Arun Singh &amp; others vs. State of Haryana &amp; another.</i></p> <p><i>ORDER IN CWP NO.25682/14</i></p> <p><i>Resultantly, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioners would be entitled for appointment against the vacant seats which were never consumed being in the zone of consideration and accordingly, the writ petitions are allowed. The petitioners shall be offered appointment letters and the State should operate the merit list in the respective categories in the subjects of English, Hindi, and Biology. In case there are persons senior in merit than the petitioners, they will firstly be offered the said posts and in case the vacancies still exist, the petitioners will be accommodated. The necessary exercise be concluded within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the judgment.</i></p>	27-12-2017	26-02-2018	Directorate	
86	<u>CWP 18819/15</u> T.Edu. Cell	Sarita Jain College Education v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant Permission for D.Ed Course	08-09-2015	<p><i>Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 2 to decide the above issues within a period of 10 days from from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Needless to say that in case the relief is to be denied, the order should contain reasons.</i></p>	30-09-2015	10-10-2015	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
87	<u>CWP 1890/18</u> HR(ME)	Sheela Devi & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	30-01-2018	<p><i>That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners, as also the legal notice dated 16.11.2017 (Annexure P7), within a period of 8 weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order.</i></p> <p><i>And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. Needless to assert that in the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.</i></p>	26-02-2018	23-04-2018	Directorate	
88	<u>CWP 19036/17</u> HR(G-I)	Kuldeep Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Selection Grade	24-08-2017	<p><i>Hence, without making any comment on the merits of the contentions made in the petition, it is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the aforesaid legal notice of the petitioners (Annexure P-9), dated 25.05.2017, by passing a speaking order within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i></p> <p><i>Though it is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners' case has never been rejected till date, if any order has however been passed by the respondents already rejecting the petitioners' case and the said rejection is by a detailed speaking order giving reasons for such rejection, then only a short order need be passed now annexing therewith the detailed order already passed (if any).</i></p> <p><i>The judgments of this Court on the issue as have been cited in the legal notice, would obviously be looked at by the respondents to see whether the claim of the petitioners is genuine or not in terms of such judgments.</i></p>	08-09-2017	07-01-2018	Directorate	<b>Claim has been accepted and DEO,s are being directed to release the benefits.</b>



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
89	<u>CWP 19095/15</u> HR(AS)	Babli v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Revision of Pay Scale	10-11-2017	<i>Taking into consideration the facts &amp; circumstances of the case in hand, this Court is of the view that grant of interest @ 9% per annum, on the delayed payment after expiry of three months from the date of retirement of the husband of the petitioner till the actual payment, is legally and factually justified. Accordingly, this Court awards an interest @ 9% per annum on the delayed payment(s) w.e.f. March 01, 2013 to actual date of payment. Accordingly, respondents are directed to calculate the interest on the delayed payments reflected in the aforesaid reply within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment and disburse the same within a period of two months thereafter. Disposed of accordingly.</i>	27-12-2017	26-03-2018	Directorate	
90	<u>CWP 19186/17</u> PGT-II	Ajit Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Upgraded grade pay or pay scale w.e.f the date of anomaly of revision of scales i.e. 01.01.06	25-08-2017	<i>After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioners and noticing the grievance as expressed in the petition, we are of the considered view that this petition needs to be disposed of at this stage with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners as contained in the legal notice dated 25.04.2017 (Annexure P-10) by passing a speaking order as expeditiously as possible. Ordered accordingly.</i>	13-09-2017		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
91	<u>CWP 19231/17</u> HR(ME)	Anil Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Benefit of regular increment from the date of promotion as Clerk and accordingly also seeks quashing of condition no.6 in his promotion order which provided that while promoting him as Clerk, the condition of clearing type test would be applicable to him	13-10-2017	<i>Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioner for the abovesaid relief and keeping in view the fact that the matter is still pending consideration, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 20.07.2017 (Annexure P-5) within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case any adverse order is to be passed, a reasoned order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner.</i>	21-11-2017	20-03-2018	Directorate	
92	<u>CWP 19437/10</u> HR(AS)	Usha Sabharwal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Reinstate with all consequential benefits including arrears of salary with 12% interest from date due till the date of payment.	20-02-2017	<i>Learned State counsel has also raised an argument that under the subsequent 2008 Rules, the cadre of Craft Teacher (Tailoring &amp; Cutting) had been declared as a dying cadre and no fresh appointment is being made in that cadre. In my opinion, the adjustment of the petitioner in terms of Sub Rule 3 of Rule 59 of the Higher Education Rules 2003 would not amount to reviving the cadre. Consequently, the present petition is disposed of in the same terms as passed in that judgment (Annexure A-1).</i>	17-03-2017		Directorate	Draft of LPA has been prepared by the branch which will be filed shortly
93	<u>CWP 19464/17</u> PGT-II	Parvinder Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Step up of pay	30-08-2017	<i>Hence, without making any comment on the merits of the case of the petitioner, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to take a decision on the aforesaid legal notice by passing a speaking order within a period of 3 months from the date of a certified copy of this order. If the petitioners' claim is to be rejected, detailed reasons of rejection, dealing with each aspect of the recovery ordered to be made from the petitioner in his capacity as a TGT, and the reasons for non-stepping up of his pay to the level of his junior (in such capacity), be given in the speaking order.</i>	18-09-2017	17-12-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
94	<u>CWP 19617/17</u> PGT-I	Maha Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.ACP	26-09-2017	<i>Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the aforesaid legal notice, by passing a speaking order within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	26-09-2017	25-12-2017	Directorate	
95	<u>CWP 19620/13</u> HR(G-II)	Nand Kishore & Anr. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of Headmaster	27-04-2017	<i>For orders, see judgment passed in CWP No.14992 of 2013 titled Smt. Kamla Malik and another Vs. The State of Haryana and another of even date.</i>	17-05-2017	16-08-2017	Directorate	<b>Write to DEO Rohtak, Ambala, Gurgaon, Fatehabad, Rewari, Jind vide letter dated 19.06.17 &amp; 25.07.17. The concerned DEO's to calculate and disburse the arrear of pay within a week</b>
96	<u>CWP 19642/17</u> HR(ME)	Ram Mehar Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Release the arrears of actual pay and other benefits to the petitioner from the date of his regularization i.e. 01.04.11 as were released to similarly situated petitioners vide order dt. 04.08.14 (Annexure P-5)	31-08-2017	<i>Without commenting on the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioners for the abovesaid relief and keeping in view the fact that the matter is still pending consideration, the present writ petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the said legal notice dated 18.05.2017 (Annexure P-6) within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Needless to say if the benefit is to be declined, a reasoned order be passed and the same be conveyed to the petitioners.</i>	11-10-2017	10-01-2018	Directorate	13/218-17ME(2)

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
97	<u>CWP 19736/16</u> Coordination	Brahma Nand & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the impugned Note-III of Appendix-B (Annexure P-I) dated 11.04.12 and in consequence the substituted provision of corrigendum dt. 01.09.16 (Annexure P-8) being ultra vires to Article 14 of the constitution of India and being unjustified, arbitrary and having no nexus with the ultimate object to be achieved amounting to bad effect of birth marks throughout the life of a candidate	03-11-2016	<i>Learned counsel for the petitioners rightly points out that identical issue arose for consideration before this Court in CWP No.22554 of 2016 titled as Lakhbir Singh and others vs State of Haryana and another,decided on 27.10.2016. The instant writ petition is also disposed of in the same terms.</i>	28-11-2016	27-03-2017	Directorate	File is being put up

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
98	<u>CWP 19926/16</u> Coordination	Kuldeep & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of PGT	03-11-2016	<p><i>Learned counsel for the petitioners rightly points out that identical issue arose for consideration before this Court in CWP No.22554 of 2016 titled as Lakhbir Singh and others vs State of Haryana and another, decided on 27.10.2016.</i></p> <p><i>The instant writ petition is also disposed of in the same terms.</i></p> <p><i>CWP No.22554 of 2016 ORDER</i></p> <p><i>In our considered view, unless the rule is found to be inconsistent with any Constitutional provisions or a Statute or against public policy, it would not be expedient for a Writ Court to interfere with it and assume the role of Rule Making Authority. The amendment, substitution or streamlining of the existing provisions, essentially falls within the purview of Rule Making Authority. It is thus not necessary to express any views on merits, rather we deem it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent No.1 to entertain this petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioners and consider their grievance in accordance with the State policy. It shall be appreciated if an appropriate decision is taken within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. The petitioner shall be required to send a copy of the writ petition alongwith certified copy of this order to respondent No.1.</i></p> <p><i>Ordered accordingly.</i></p>	14-12-2016	13-03-2016	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
99	<u>CWP 19928/11</u> HR(AS)	Mohammad Farooque v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant all consequential benefits	03-03-2015	<p><i>Keeping in mind the entire factual matrix, in my opinion the appropriate punishment for the petitioner would be compulsory retirement.</i></p> <p><i>In the circumstances, it is directed that the petitioner would stand compulsorily retired w.e.f. 10.11.2006. The respondents are directed to compute and pay all his remaining benefits within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, failing which, the petitioner will be entitled to claim the same with interest @ 8% p.a. from the date of entitlement viz. 10.11.2006 till the date/s of payment/s.</i></p> <p><i>Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.</i></p> <p><i>Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i></p>	13-04-2015	12-07-2015	Directorate	SLP filed by the department and also by the petitioner's Mohamad Farooque SLP CC No.8132/16 Mohamad Farooque Vs. State of Haryana. Vakalatnama & Affidavit submitted in the Haryana Legal Cell on 06.06.16 by hand.SLP fixed for hearing on 25.07.17
100	<u>LPA 2013/16in</u> <u>CWP</u> <u>No.16350/16</u> PGT-II	Dolly Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg. Quashing the impugned order of transfer dt. 06.08.16 (P-6) qua the petitioner at Sr.No.340 for the reasons mentioned in the writ petition.	06-10-2016	<p><i>We thus dispose of this appeal with a direction to the respondent-authorities to re-verify the facts and take an appropriate decision regarding posting of the appellant in a School where her services can be availed as PT/Lecturer-in-Political Science. It shall be appreciated if appropriate orders are passed keeping in view the ensuing vacancy arising in district Kurukshetra.</i></p> <p><i>Ordered accordingly.</i></p>	25-10-2016		Directorate	
101	<u>CWP 20224/17</u> PGT-I	Jagdish Parsad & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Step up of pay and pay fixation as per revised pay rules	07-09-2017	<p><i>Consequently, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, respondent no.3 is directed to consider and decide the legal notice (Annexure P-10) issued by the petitioners within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.</i></p> <p><i>Disposed of.</i></p>	28-09-2017	27-12-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
102	<u>CWP 20337/17</u> HR(ME)	Jagdish Chander & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	06-10-2017	<p><i>Consequently, without making any comment at all on the claim of the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to decide the aforesaid legal notice of the petitioners by passing a speaking order within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i></p> <p><i>The competent authority would naturally take into account the ratio of the judgment in Uma Devi (supra), as also a subsequent judgment of the Supreme Court in the case of State of Jharkhand and others v. Kamal Prasad and others, (2014) 7 SCC 223.</i></p>	03-11-2017	02-02-2018	Directorate	13/142-17ME(4)
103	<u>CWP 20379/17</u> PGT-II	Kuldeep Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of PGT Mathematics	08-09-2017	<p><i>Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the case and keeping in view the above, the present writ petition is disposed of with</i></p> <p><i>a direction to respondent no.2 to take into consideration the grievance raised in the said representation dated 21.2.2017 (Annexure P/6) and pass an appropriate order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case any adverse order is to be passed then a reasoned order be passed by the said respondent and copy thereof be supplied to the petitioner.</i></p> <p><i>The present writ petition is disposed off with the aforesaid directions.</i></p>	26-09-2017	25-01-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
104	<u>CWP 20457/15</u> HR(AS)	Arya Education Society (Regd.) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Reversion from the post of Headmaster to the post of Science Master	10-05-2017	<i>Insofar as compulsory retirement and order of reversion decided by the appellate authority vide order dated 02.09.2015 is concerned, order dated 02.09.2015 is set aside. Insofar as order of compulsory retirement dated 09.06.2012 issue is concerned, matter is remanded to the appellate authority – Director, Secondary Education, Haryana for deciding the appeal of 4th respondent and cross-appeal of the petitioner afresh. The appellate authority is directed to decide the appeal as well as cross-appeal within a period of three months from today. Order of reversion dated 06.06.2011 is set aside. The petitioner is at liberty to take fresh steps if it is warranted by issuing appropriate notice furnishing the details and reasons for reverting respondent No.4. Respondent No.4 is entitled for difference of pay during the intervening period from 06.06.2011 till passing final order of reversion, if any. Arrears of pay shall be calculated and disbursed to 4th respondents within a period of three months from today. 7. Accordingly, instant writ petition stands allowed.</i>	08-06-2017	09-08-2017	Directorate	
105	<u>CWP 2059/18</u> HR(G-I)	Jai Parkash & Anr. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Ante dated promotion	01-02-2018	<i>That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners, as also the legal notice dated 01.11.2017 (Annexure P7) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioners is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.</i>	05-03-2018	04-06-2018	Directorate	



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
106	<u>CWP 20652/13</u> HR(G-II)	Daljit Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion to the post of Headmaster from the post of Lecturer Economics	27-04-2017	<i>For orders, see judgment passed in CWP No.14992 of 2013 titled Smt. Kamla Malik and another Vs. The State of Haryana and another of even date.</i>	17-05-2017	16-08-2017	Directorate	Write to DEO Rohtak, Ambala, Gurgaon, Fatehabad, Rewari, Jind vide letter dated 19.06.17 & 25.07.17. The concerned DEO's to calculate and disburse the arrear of pay within a week
107	<u>CWP 20857/13</u> Coordination	Narender Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Physics	01-05-2017	<i>Resultantly, the petition is allowed and the respondents are directed to consider the claim of the petitioner for appointment to the post of PGT Physics after giving him the benefit of 4 years teaching experience and one time exemption of HTET examination. Let the necessary exercise be completed within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc.Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	08-09-2017	07-12-2017	Directorate	
108	<u>CWP 2095/18</u> PGT-II	Deshraj v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Pay fixation as per revised pay	12-01-2018	<i>This petition is disposed of in the same terms as by the Division Bench in CWP No.22764 of 2017 titled Sushil Kumar and others v. State of Haryana. The decision be taken within a reasonable time.</i>	15-02-2018		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
109	<u>CWP 21110/17</u> PGT-I	Geeta v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Sanskrit	25-09-2017	<p><i>Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioner for the above said relief and keeping in view the fact that the matter is still pending consideration, the present writ petition is disposed. A direction is issued to respondent no. 2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 07.07.2015 (Annexure P-9) after taking into consideration the show cause notice dated 04.09.2015 (Annexure P-7) and the reply dated 26.09.2015 (Annexure P-8) filed by the petitioner and the justification given by the school also regarding the same if not already done. The said exercise shall be completed within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case any adverse order is to be passed, the petitioner be given personal hearing before passing of the said order. If decision has already been taken, then copy be supplied to the petitioner.</i></p>	06-11-2017	05-01-2018	Directorate	
110	<u>CWP 21254/17</u> PGT-II	Meenakshi & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Step up of pay scales	30-10-2017	<p><i>Consequently, these petitions are allowed in the same terms as Neelam Ranis' case (supra), with the competent authority amongst the respondents directed to consider the case of each of the petitioners for stepping up their pay scales to the level of their juniors, as per the ratio of the aforesaid judgment, and to pass a speaking order thereafter. The speaking order shall be passed in the case of each petitioner/identical set of petitioners within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i></p>	11-12-2017	10-04-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
111	<u>CWP 2129/17</u> Coordination	Rakesh Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.HTET	02-05-2017	<i>Before parting with the judgment, it is clarified that this order cannot be held to benefit only those persons who had approached this Court, but it would also have to go to all those other candidates who had applied for the posts but have not approached this Court. Consequently, the respondents are directed to issue a public notice informing all such applicants who had passed the STET examination and had cleared the written test for the posts in question and had not approached this Court, but are otherwise similarly situated as petitioners, that they are now considered to be eligible and then consider their claims also. Since the main cases have been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	11-08-2017		Directorate	
112	<u>CWP 21362/16</u> PGT-II	Manisha Bhutani (Lect.in Physics) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	17-10-2016	<i>This petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the representation of the petitioner without delay and the petitioner thereafter be informed accordingly.</i>	22-12-2016		Directorate	
113	<u>CWP 21734/12</u> PGT-I	Sandeep v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of Lect.Physical Education	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
114	<u>CWP 21807/17</u> Coordination	Anita v/s state & Ors.	Reg.HTET	25-09-2017	<i>Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioner for the abovesaid relief and keeping in view the fact that the matter is still pending consideration, the present writ petition is disposed of with direction to respondent-Commission to take a decision on the representation dated 21.08.2017 (Annexure P-7) and declare the result of the petitioner. In case the petitioner is found successful and falls within the zone of consideration for appointment, necessary steps will be taken to send a recommendation to the State Government for appointment. The said exercise shall be done within a period of 4 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case the petitioner does not fall within the zone of consideration, the Commission will inform the petitioner.</i>	12-01-2018	09-02-2018	Directorate	
115	<u>CWP 21956/13</u> HR(G-I)	Pawan Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion to the post of Principal w.e.f.18.08.06 instead of 01.01.10	04-02-2016	<i>Since penalty of punishment imposed upon the petitioner was not major (it was a minor), order dated 02.07.2012 (AnnexureP/7) is set aside and the petitioner is directed to be promoted notionally w.e.f. 18.08.2006 and a direction is also being given to the respondents to fix his notional pay w.e.f. 18.08.2006 for all intents and purposes within a period of three months. Petition is allowed, accordingly.</i>	18-04-2016	03-05-2016	Directorate	LPA filed and same is fixed for 12.09.17

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
116	<u>CWP 21985/17</u> PGT-II	Saroj Bala v/s State & Ors.	Reg. Maternity Leave on the birth of fourth child	27-11-2017	<p><i>Consequently, though obviously it goes without saying that population in this country needs to be kept in check, however, the petitioner having been refused leave in the face of a statutory rule in her favour, and in any case with no maternity leave now grantable to her 11 months after the birth of her child, at best she can be granted damages for having been refused her right of six months leave. Eventually, though leave has been sanctioned for six months, however, she having worked for 03 of those 06 months, that 'empty exercise' of sanctioning leave for that period (01.03.2017 to 21.05.2017), is wholly farcical.</i></p> <p><i>Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pay the petitioner a sum of Rs. 50,000/- by way of damages and costs, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i></p>	02-02-2018	01-05-2018	Directorate	
117	<u>CWP 22070/17</u> HR(ME)	Rohtash Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularize the services of the petitioners from the date juniors to the petitioners have been regularized i.e. 19.08.14 and to release all consequential benefits arising out of it.	27-09-2017	<p><i>Consequently, without making any comment whatsoever on the merit of the contentions raised by the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the legal notice of the petitioners (Annexure P-7), dated 25.06.2017, by passing a speaking order within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i></p>	07-11-2017	10-02-2018	Directorate	13/232-17ME(3)

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
118	<u>CWP 22095/14</u> PGT-I	Amit Bindra & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Deemed university matter for appointment of PGT Mathematics	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
119	<u>CWP 22168/14</u> PGT-I	Tarun Kaushik v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Mathematics	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
120	<u>CWP 22470/14</u> PGT-I	Ramesh Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
121	<u>CWP 22554/16</u> Coordination	Lakhibir Shing & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the impugned Note-III of Appendix-B (Annexure P-I) dated 11.04.12 and in consequence the substituted provision of corrigendum dt. 01.09.16 (Annexure P-8) being ultra vires to Article 14 of the constitution of India and being unjustified, arbitrary and having no nexus with the ultimate object to be achieved amounting to bad effect of birth marks throughout the life of a candidate	27-10-2016	<i>In our considered view, unless the rule is found to be inconsistent with any Constitutional provisions or a Statute or against public policy, it would not be expedient for a Writ Court to interfere with it and assume the role of Rule Making Authority. The amendment, substitution or streamlining of the existing provisions, essentially falls within the purview of Rule Making Authority. It is thus not necessary to express any views on merits, rather we deem it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent No.1 to entertain this petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioners and consider their grievance in accordance with the State policy. It shall be appreciated if an appropriate decision is taken within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. The petitioner shall be required to send a copy of the writ petition alongwith certified copy of this order to respondent No.1. Ordered accordingly.</i>	28-11-2016	27-03-2017	Directorate	File is being submitted
122	<u>CWP 22653/14</u> PGT-I	Surender Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment/P ost Graduate from deemed universities	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
123	<u>CWP 22737/16</u> Coordination	Zakir Hussain v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT History	04-11-2016	<i>In our considered view, unless the rule is found to be inconsistent with any Constitutional provision or a Statute or it is against the public policy, it would not be expedient for a Writ Court to interfere with it and assume the role of Rule Making Authority. The amendment, substitution or streamlining of the existing provisions thus is an exercise which essentially falls within the purview of the Rule Making Authority. It is not necessary for this Court to express any views on merits, rather it would be appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent No.1 to entertain this petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioner and consider his grievances in accordance with the law. It shall be appreciated if an appropriate decision is taken within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. The petitioner shall be required to send a copy of the writ petition alongwith certified copy of this order to respondent No.1. Ordered accordingly.</i>	20-02-2017	19-06-2017	Directorate	
124	<u>CWP 22764/17</u> PGT-II	Sushil Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Fixation of their pay in revised pay scales and grant of arrears on account of it,	06-10-2017	<i>After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners, we dispose of the instant petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice (Annexure P-7) submitted by the petitioners as expeditiously as possible.</i>	27-10-2017		Directorate	



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
125	<u>COCP 228/17</u> <u>IN cwp</u> <u>nO.7684/15</u> PEN-II	Ishwar Singh v/s Sh.P.K.Dass, IAS	Reg.Revise the pension and pensionary benefits after computing the service w.e.f 18.01.88 to 31.10.11	01-11-2017	<i>After hearing learned counsel for the parties and on perusal of various orders and the stand taken in the written statement, it would be in the interest of justice that in case the petitioner makes a detail representation to the competent authority i.e. the Managing Committee preferably within a period of one month from today, it is expected that the Managing Committee shall consider the same preferably within a period of two months thereafter. The contempt petition is disposed of accordingly. In case the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.</i>	05-12-2017	04-03-2018	Directorate	
126	<u>CWP 22879/16</u> PGT-II	Narender Singh (Lect in Geography) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	07-11-2016	<i>Without making any observation on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to the respondents No.3 to 5 to consider and decide the legal notice dated (Annexure P5) within a period of two months in accordance with law. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	15-12-2016	06-01-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
127	<u>CWP 23241/17</u> HR(ME)	Phool Pati v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Count the part time service of the petitioner rendered by her to the respondent-depart ment from the date of her respective joining as Part time Class IV employee in the year 1982 till the date of her regularization in the year 2006 as qualifying service for the purpose of grant of pension and pensionary benefits	24-10-2017	<i>Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her legal notice dated 17.05.2017 (Annexure P-4) and to deal with and dispose of the same, in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. If the concerned authority comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the benefits claimed, to release the same with in next 45 days.</i> <i>The claim of interest on delayed payment of retiral benefits to the petitioner shall also be considered in view of the observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case captioned as "R.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab, 1997 (3) RSJ 318.</i> <i>However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.</i>	27-11-2017	26-02-2018	Directorate	13/264-17. Telephonic message has been given to dealing hand O/o of DEO Jind. Noting approved and order will be issued within week.
128	<u>CWP 23296/16</u> PGT-II	Indu Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	10-11-2016	<i>Without making any observation on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation of the petitioner dated 23.9.2016 (Annexure P-9), in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.</i> <i>The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	16-01-2017	15-04-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
129	<u>CWP 23459/17</u> Coordination	Jagat Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Pay arrears w.e.f.01.01.2006, the date when upward revision for lecturers school cadre was granted alongwith interest @8% per annum	12-10-2017	<i>Consequently, without commenting on the merits of the case, the aforesaid representation be decided by the competent authority, by passing a speaking order within three months from date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, keeping in view the judgments cited by the learned counsel for the petitioner and any other law to which the competent authority wishes to refer. Disposed of.</i>	01-01-2018	31-03-2018	Directorate	
130	<u>CWP 23600/17</u> PGT-II	Ram Bhagat (Lect.in.History) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Count previous service as clerk for the purpose of pensionary benefits	10-11-2017	<i>Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioner, as such, keeping in view the above and the fact that the necessary order would have to be passed by the competent authority. The representation dated 27.09.2012 (Annexure P-7) has been addressed to the erstwhile employer and not to respondents No.1 &amp; 2, with whom the petitioner has been re-employed, therefore, the present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to the competent authority to treat the writ petition as representation and decide the said issue as to the petitioner's entitlement to be treated under the Old Pension Scheme, as prayed for. Let necessary decision be taken within a period of 2 months from the receipt of a certified copy of this order. If the benefit is to be denied, the same should contain reasons and be conveyed to the petitioner, forthwith.</i>	28-11-2017	27-01-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
131	<u>CWP 23686/17</u> HR(ME)	Mange Ram v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	13-10-2017	<i>Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioner for the above said relief and keeping in view the fact that the matter is still pending consideration, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 2 that he shall sympathetically consider the request of the petitioner in view of the averments which have been recorded above. Accordingly, a decision on the representation dated 20.07.2017 (Annexure P-10) will be taken within a period of 6 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and keeping in mind the fact that the transfer had been cancelled 2-1/2 months later and in normal circumstances, an employee is entitled to stay for a period of at least 2 years as per the policy of the State itself.</i>	27-10-2017	08-12-2017	Directorate	<b>13/229-17 ME(4) As per A.G opinion it is not fit case for filing LPA. File put up to Higher Authority for orders</b>
132	<u>CWP 24252/17</u> HR(G-I)	Mukesh Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Fix the pay in the revised pay scale of Rs.1640-2900 instead of 1400-2600 w.e.f.22.12.98 and Rs.2000-3500 w.e.f.01.05.90 as well as in the corresponding revised pay scale w.e.f. 01.01.96 and 01.01.06	27-10-2017	<i>Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner in the light of the aforesaid judgments, as also the order of the Director Secondary Education dated 18.10.2016, Annexure P-7, by passing a speaking order, within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	09-11-2017	08-02-2018	Directorate	<b>Recently received on 09.11.17</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
133	<u>CWP 24319/13</u> PEN-II	Ram Charan Sharma v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Arrear of Revised Pension	14-05-2015	<i>Keeping in view the prayer made in the petition, the present petition is allowed with a direction to the competent authority, to consider and decide the case of the petitioner, in terms of judgment in LPA No.1169 of 2012 titled as 'State of Haryana and another Vs. Harbans Lal and others', decided on 08.10.2012, by passing a speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. 14.05.2015</i>	09-07-2015	08-11-2015	Directorate	Recalling order by filing CM No.11117/15 and same is fixed for hearing on 20.11.15 and Hon'ble Court granted stay stay till the decision of SLP No.38930/15
134	<u>CWP 24479/11</u> PEN-II	Subhash Chander, Retd.SSM from Hindu Sr.Sec.School, Kaithal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Pensionary Benefits	14-05-2015	<i>Keeping in view the prayer made in the petition, the present petition is allowed with a direction to the competent authority, to consider and decide the case of the petitioner, in terms of judgment in LPA No.1169 of 2012 titled as 'State of Haryana and another Vs. Harbans Lal and others', decided on 08.10.2012, by passing a speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	13-07-2015	12-11-2015	Directorate	Recalling order by filing CM No.11117/15 and same is fixed for hearing on 20.11.15 and Hon'ble Court granted stay stay till the decision of SLP No.38930/15
135	<u>CWP 24634/17</u> PGT-II	Niranjan Singh Shishodia (Lect.in Economics) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Count the service rendered in private aided school since 16.08.76 to 10.01.83 before joining in the department of education in Govt.of haryana for the purpose of pensionary benefits	31-10-2017	<i>Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the representations (P-4 &amp; P-5) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authorities, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.</i>	28-11-2017	27-02-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
136	<u>CWP 24694/17</u> HR(ME)	Raj Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	01-11-2017	<i>Consequently, without making any comment on the merit of the contentions, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the legal notice of the petitioners (Annexure P-7), dated 16.07.2017, by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	01-01-2018	31-03-2018	Directorate	
137	<u>CWP 24730/17</u> PGT-I	Sonia Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Mathematic	01-11-2017	<i>Keeping in view the above, this Court is of the opinion that since the petitioner has been declared qualified at a subsequent point of time and as per the terms of the advertisement, has become eligible. In case the interview process has not been completed for the above-said posts, it would be appropriate that respondent No.2 will take a decision on the representation dated 23.10.2017 (Annexure P-9) within 10 days from the receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case the petitioner is not to be permitted to appear in the interview process, she shall be communicated reasons for the same. Writ petition stands disposed of in the above-said terms.</i>	06-12-2017	16-12-2017	Directorate	It is not fit case for filing LPA as per opinion of A.G
138	<u>CWP 24757/13</u> PGT-I	Bal Rishi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of Lecturer English	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
139	<u>CWP 24859/13</u> PGT-I	Shiv Kumar & Anr v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	<b>The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana</b>
140	<u>CWP 25035/17</u> Coordination	Mrs.Usha Gandhi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Pay Scale of vocational merger employee in Education Department	06-11-2017	<i>Keeping in view the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider the legal notice dated 12.05.2017(Annexure P-9) and pass necessary order in accordance with law and keeping in view the fact that the similarly situated persons who filed CWP No.15065 of 2013 have been granted the same benefits. In case the petitioners are found entitled for the benefits, the same be released to them within a period of two months from date of receipt of copy of the order. Disposed of.</i>	21-11-2017	20-01-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
141	<u>CWP 25308/17</u> HR(AS)	Sanatan Dharam Educational Society (Regd.) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Reimburse amount of leave encashment paid to retired employees	07-11-2017	<p><i>Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in legal representation-cumnotice dated 14.02.2017 (P-3) and to decide the same as per Rules and Regulations applicable to the petitioner as well as in the light of judgments passed by this Court in CWP No.21773 of 2011, CWP No. 11373 of 2003 and case titled as "Ghanshyam Dass v. State of Haryana, (P&amp;H) (DB), 1997(2) RSJ 168, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.</i></p> <p><i>However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.</i></p>	04-12-2017	03-03-2018	Directorate	
142	<u>CWP 25546/17</u> HR(AS)	Prem Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Count the probationary services of the petitioners served with respondent No.4 till their retirement for the purpose of pensionary benefits and to revise their pension and to release the arrears along with retiral/consequential benefits with interest @ 12%.	09-11-2017	<p><i>Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in the representation dated July 11, 2017 (Annexure P-1) and take a conscious decision within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.</i></p> <p><i>However, in case, petitioners still feel aggrieved of the order passed by concerned authority, they shall be at liberty to approach this Court.</i></p>	27-12-2017	26-03-2018	Directorate	



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
143	<u>CWP 25682/14</u> PGT-I	Arun Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Issue Appointment letters of PGT English	13-11-2017	<i>Resultantly, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioners would be entitled for appointment against the vacant seats which were never consumed being in the zone of consideration and accordingly, the writ petitions are allowed. The petitioners shall be offered appointment letters and the State should operate the merit list in the respective categories in the subjects of English, Hindi, and Biology. In case there are persons senior in merit than the petitioners, they will firstly be offered the said posts and in case the vacancies still exist, the petitioners will be accommodated. The necessary exercise be concluded within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the judgment.</i>	27-12-2017	26-02-2018	Directorate	
144	<u>CWP 2569/18</u> HR(ME)	Satbir Singh & Ors. (L.A) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.ACP	05-02-2018	<i>Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioners within a reasonable period of three months. The competent authority would look into the seniority list of Laboratory Assistants and other relevant facts and then pass an order in writing containing the reasons for the conclusion/s. If the claim of the petitioners is found fair and justified, no speaking order is required to be passed and the petitioners may be promoted from the date their juniors have been promoted in accordance with rules.</i>	19-02-2018		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
145	<u>CWP 25863/17</u> HR(ME)	Sandoki v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	04-12-2017	<i>Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioners for the abovesaid relief and keeping in view the fact that the matter is still pending consideration, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to take a decision on the legal notice dated 10.08.2017 (Annexure P-4) within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case any adverse order is to be passed, a reasoned order be passed and conveyed to the petitioners</i>	13-02-2018	12-05-2018	Directorate	
146	<u>CWP 25875/15</u> PGT-I	Anil Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.ACP	30-01-2017	<i>In these circumstances, the respondents are directed to release the interest on the delayed payment of retiral benefits, as per government instructions within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.</i>	24-03-2017	23-05-2017	Directorate	
147	<u>CWP 26121/17</u> PGT-I	Joginder Singh (Lect.Pol.Science ) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.3rd ACP	16-11-2017	<i>Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to consider the case of the petitioner and take a final decision in terms of Rule 7 of Haryana Civil Service (Assured Career Progression) Rules, 2008 read with letter dated 04.03.2014 (Annexure P-1), within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The factum of grant of interest on delayed payment of retiral benefits shall also be considered in view of the observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case captioned as "R.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab, 1997 (3) RSJ 318. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.</i>	30-11-2017	01-03-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
148	<u>CWP 26254/16</u> PGT-II	Suminder Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	19-12-2016	<i>Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with direction to respondents to consider and decide the representation (Annex P-5) promptly.</i>	28-12-2016		Directorate	
149	<u>CWP 2633/14</u> HR(AS)	Mukesh Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.To fill up two posts of S.S.Master & one post of Hindi Teacher in Hindu High School (Rewari)	12-01-2018	<i>Accordingly, directions are issued to respondent No.2 to give its concurrence regarding the recommendations sent by the then Management Committee of respondent No.5, regarding filling up of the post of Hindi Teacher by the petitioner. The said procedure be completed within a period of 2 months from the receipt of a certified copy of this order. On the said recommendation, appointment letter be issued to the petitioner, within a period of one month thereafter, by respondent No.5. Writ petition stands allowed, in the above-said terms.</i>	07-03-2018	06-05-2018	Directorate	
150	<u>CWP 26386/14</u> HR(ME)	Jagdish Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant the arrear of Promotion as Dy.Sudt.	21-03-2017	<i>Perusal of the records it is evident that no reasons have been assigned as to why the petitioner's name was over looked for promotion to the post of Assistant and Deputy Superintendent as and when it was due. Therefore, the condition imposed in the order dated 04.10.2013 (Annexure P-1) to the extent that the petitioner is entitled to notional pay fixation with effect from 14.09.2011 is hereby set aside and the concerned respondent is directed to pay arrears during the intervening period from 14.09.2011 to 04.10.2013 in the cadre of Deputy Superintendent and extend petitioner's difference of pay along with the interest at the rate 9% per annum and petitioner is entitled to cost of `10,000/-. Cost shall be paid to the petitioner within three months.</i>	12-04-2017	20-06-2017	Directorate	13/52-15 ME(4) LPA is being filed

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
151	<u>CWP 26654/15</u> HR(PS)	S.K.Education Society v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Recognition	26-08-2016	<i>Without commenting upon the arguments as such raised by the counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view the fact the counsel was not present before the Appellate Authority, and in view of the averments made in Para No.4 of the writ petition justifying the absence, the present writ petition is allowed. The Appellate Order dated 11.08.2015 (Annexure P-2) passed by the respondent No.1 is, accordingly, set aside. The said respondent shall re-hear the appeal of the petitioner. Necessary exercise be done within a period of 3 months from the receipt of the certified copy of this order.</i>	06-09-2016	05-12-2016	Directorate	Personal hearing was fixed for 6.12.16 by W.ACSSE order awaited
152	<u>CWP 26820/16</u> HR(PS)	DPS Sector 19 Faridabad Parents Association v/s State & Ors.	Reg.DirectingRespo ndentNo 1 ie the Government of Haryana Department of School Education and RespondentNo 3 ie Director School Education Government of Haryanato conduct a comprehensiveaudi t of the School funds of RespondentNo 6 ie Delhi Public School Sector 19Faridabad Haryana	08-02-2017	<i>Consequently, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.1 and 3 to comply with the order dated 24.7.2015, for the purpose of conducting the audit of the school funds of respondent No.6, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, the order is not complied with, the petitioner may, if so advised, avail the remedy of filing application for revival of this petition or for initiating contempt proceedings.</i>	27-03-2017	26-06-2017	Directorate	Letter has been issued on 31.05.17 to conduct the audit under Rule 158-A

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
153	<u>CWP 2685/18</u> HR(G-I)	Nirmal Dhull v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of Principal being a handicapped person	07-02-2018	<i>That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as also her representation dated 11.10.2017 (Annexure P7), within a period of 3 months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. Needless to assert that in the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof</i>	08-03-2018	07-06-2018	Directorate	
154	<u>CWP 26928/17</u> HR(AS)	Sunita Sharma@Shukla v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Release the 25% share of gratuity to the tune Rs. 238124/- and salary for the period of May 2012 to November 2013 and leave encashment due	28-11-2017	<i>Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.1 &amp; 2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated September 12, 2017 (P-4) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case, competent authorities comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief(s) claimed, same be released to her within a period of next 30 days. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.</i>	15-12-2017	14-03-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
155	<u>CWP 26954/17</u> PGT-II	Anil Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	07-12-2017	<i>Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioner for the abovesaid relief and keeping in view the fact that the matter is still pending consideration, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 2 to take a decision on the representations dated 05.08.2017 (Annexure P-4) and 25.09.2017 (Annexure P-5) within a period of 4 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case any adverse order is to be passed, a reasoned order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner.</i>	01-01-2018	29-01-2018	Directorate	
156	<u>CWP 27196/16</u> Coordination	Sushma Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	23-12-2016	<i>This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioner and consider it sympathetically in the 2nd transfer drive of Post Graduate Teachers in the Education Department, Haryana. Let the final decision be taken within a week or 10 days at most and conveyed to the petitioner.</i>	25-01-2017	04-02-2017	Directorate	Participated in Second Drive

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
157	<u>CWP 27338/13</u> PGT-II	Dev Parkash v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant benefit of Adhoc Service rendered in Govt.Aided Privately managed schools for the purpose of pensionary benefits	01-08-2016	<i>This was subject to the condition laid down in the said instructions. Of course, if the conditions are laid down, the petitioner has to follow these conditions. However, the past service, rendered in the Government Aided School against the sanctioned post, is to be counted for the grant of pension and gratuity. The petitioner worked on the sanctioned post of Hindi teacher to the Government Aided School from 6.8.1984 to 19.11.1993. The said period is to be counted as qualifying service for the purpose of grant of pension and gratuity. The present writ petition is allowed on the abovenoted terms. The respondents are directed to fix the revised pension after counting his said service and pay the same within three months from the date of passing of this order with interest at the rate of 9% per annum, starting three months from the date of retirement till payment.</i>	30-08-2016	30-10-2016	Directorate	
158	<u>CWP 27566/17</u> HR(ME)	Satyawan & Ors. v/s State & Ors.`	Reg.Consider the services of the petitioners deemed to be regularized w.e.f.12.05.05 instead of Aug 2014/July-2015 as juniors to petitioners have been given same benefit	04-12-2017	<i>Without making any comment on the right of the petitioners to be regularized in service, however, they being Class-IV employees with similarly situated persons stated to have been regularized with effect from a date prior to the date that the petitioners are now being regularized from, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the legal notice got issued by the petitioners on 17.08.2017, a copy of which is Annexure P-6, by passing a speaking order within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	24-01-2018	23-04-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
159	<u>CWP 27571/17</u> Coordination	Krishna Devi & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.State Teacher Award	09-02-2018	<i>In response, learned State counsel submits that the claim of the petitioners, as also their representation dated 13.09.2017 (Annexure P3 Colly.), shall be considered and dealt with by the respondent authority within a period of 4 weeks from today. And the appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed and communicated to the petitioners as also their counsel. That being so, the petition is disposed of in the above terms. Needless to assert that in the event the claim of the petitioners is declined, the respondent authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof</i>	12-03-2018	09-04-2018	Directorate	
160	<u>CWP 27681/17</u> HR(ME)	Anguri Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	07-12-2017	<i>Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the legal notice of the petitioner (Annexure P-9), by passing a speaking order within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	27-12-2017	26-04-2018	Directorate	
161	<u>CWP 27904/17</u> HR(ME)	Bhajan Lal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of L.A	08-12-2017	<i>Consequently, without making any comment on the actual merits of what is contained in the petition, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to go into the grievance of the petitioners and to take a decision on the said legal notice (if not already taken), by passing a speaking order within a period of 3 months receipt of a certified copy of this order. It is also clarified that in case a speaking order has already been passed giving such detailed reasons, then only a short order need be passed, annexing therewith the detailed order already passed (if any).</i>	01-01-2018	31-03-2018	Directorate	



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
162	<u>CWP 28733/17</u> HR(ME)	Bajrang Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of Clerk	16-12-2017	<i>Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case and keeping in view the fact that the matter is still pending consideration, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 21.09.2017 (Annexure P-4) within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case any adverse order is to be passed, a reasoned order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner.</i>	18-01-2018	17-03-2018	Directorate	
163	<u>CWP 29003/17</u> HR(G-I)	Satbir Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of Principal	20-12-2017	<i>Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the aforesaid legal notice by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. It is also clarified that in case a speaking order has already been passed giving detailed reasons, then only a short order need be passed annexing therewith the detailed order already passed(if any).</i>	09-02-2018	08-05-2018	Directorate	
164	<u>CWP 29058/17</u> HR(ME)	Ramphal & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	10-01-2018	<i>That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to consider and decide the legal notice dated 25.09.2017 (Annexure P6), within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioners is declined, the respondent authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.</i>	18-01-2018	17-03-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
165	<u>CWP 29123/17</u> PEN-II	Mam Chand Sharma v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant Pensionary benefits	20-12-2017	<p>Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2- The Director General, Secondary Education, Haryana, Shiksha Sadan, Sector 5, Panchkula to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the aforesaid legal notice dated November 06, 2017 (P-13) and take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.</p> <p>However, in case, petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.</p>	09-01-2018	08-04-2018	Directorate	
166	<u>CWP 29292/17</u> PEN-I	Jagmal Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Revised Pension	20-12-2017	<p>The instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondents No.1 and 2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated 01.12.2017 (Annexure P-6) and to decide the same particularly in the light of notification dated 06.02.2015 (Annexure P-4 &amp; P-5) as well as in view of judgments passed by this Court in CWP No. 19641 of 2009, titled as</p> <p>"R.K. Aggarwal and others v. State of Haryana and others", decided on 21.12.2012 and CWP No. 3423-2014, titled as "Parminder Singh Bedi and others v. Union of India and others" decided on 18.12.2015, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.</p> <p>However, if the petitioners still feel aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, they shall be at liberty to approach this Court.</p>	08-03-2018	07-06-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
167	<u>CWP 2941/16</u> PGT-II	Pawan Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Challenge the transfer orders	15-02-2016	<p><i>For orders see judgment of even date passed in CWP No.1098 of 2016 Yashpal Singh &amp; others Vs. State of Haryana &amp; Ors.</i></p> <p><i>CWP NO.1098/16 ORDERS</i></p> <p><i>A perusal of the afore-referred preliminary fact finding inquiry by the DEO, Rohtak shows recommendation of disciplinary proceedings against the Principal and the TGTs mentioned therein. It is directed that the final decision with regard to the same be taken by the competent Authority expeditiously but not later than one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i></p>	04-05-2016	03-06-2016	Directorate	
168	<u>CWP 29591/17</u> PGT-I	Ramesh Chand v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of PGT	21-12-2017	<p><i>In the first instance, the competent authority to consider cases of regularization in the department would look into the grievance of the petitioner and pass a speaking order on the legal notice after hearing her.</i></p> <p><i>Let the exercise be completed within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.</i></p> <p><i>With these observations and directions, the petition stands disposed of</i></p>	23-02-2018	09-03-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
169	<u>CWP 29677/17</u> HR(G-I)	Chander Parkash & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg. Grant Selection Grade	22-12-2017	<i>Without making any comment on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to decide the aforesaid legal notices issued by the petitioners, by passing a speaking order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. It is also clarified that in case a speaking order has already been passed giving such detailed reasons, then only a short order need be passed in the present petitioners' case, annexing therewith the detailed order already passed (if any); however, if only a short order has been passed earlier, a detailed speaking order shall be now passed within the aforesaid period.</i>	01-02-2018	30-06-2018	Directorate	
170	<u>COCP 2984/13</u> <u>in CWP</u> <u>No.8781/12</u> HR(AS)	Sudesh Kumar & Ors. v/s Smt.Surina Rajan, IAS & Ors.	Reg.Grant same pay scales and allowances as admissible to their counter parts working in Govt.Schools	12-07-2017	<i>Learned State counsel undertakes to grant the benefits to the petitioners as per judgment of Single Bench but subject to decision of review application, which is pending. The necessary exercise be done within a period of four weeks from today. The petition is disposed of accordingly. However, in case, the respondents fail to comply with the order as per undertaking, the petitioners are at liberty to move an application for revival of contempt petition.</i>	22-08-2017	09-08-2017	Directorate	
171	<u>CWP 29946/17</u> PGT-II	Sanjay Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Advance increment on acquire higher qualification	29-01-2018	<i>Accordingly, the present writ petition is treated as a representation on behalf of the petitioners and is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to look into the grievance of the petitioners, hearing them and take a final decision within three months from the date of certified copy of this order.</i>	23-02-2018	22-04-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
172	<u>CWP 30026/17</u> HR(ME)	Surjeet Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the Regularization order dt..25.08.14 & 08.08.14 instead of 01.04.11	08-01-2018	<i>In conspectus of the above, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondent authority to consider the notice served by the petitioners, dated 17.11.2017 (Annexure P7), within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioners is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.</i>	25-01-2018	22-03-2018	Directorate	13/27-18 ME(6). File put up to Higher Authority
173	<u>CWP 30061/17</u> Academic	Nisha Education v/s State & Ors.	Reg.The petitioner is aggrieved by the order Annexure P-4, whereby all the Schools in Haryana have been directed to observe winter vacation from 25.12.2017 to 08.01.2018. He submits that according to the Haryana School Education Rules 2003, a total 220 working days are mandated and this year because of public violence in Haryana caused by the followers of Baba Gurmit Ram Rahim Singh, in the month of August 2017, the schools have not been able to complete the mandated period,	29-12-2017	<i>Keeping in view the above, the petitioner is permitted to make a representation today itself, to respondent No.2, who will pass a speaking order thereupon on 01.01.2018 by 13:00 hours. This petition is disposed of accordingly. A copy of this order be given under the signatures of Bench Secretary of this Court</i>	01-01-2018	01-01-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
174	<u>CWP 3183/18</u> PGT-II	Satbir v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of Lecturer/PGT	12-02-2018	<i>In response, learned State counsel submits that the claim of the petitioner shall be considered and dealt with by the respondents-department within a period of 4 months from today. And, an appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner. The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondents/authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.</i>	13-03-2018	11-06-2018	Directorate	
175	<u>CWP 3186/18</u> HR(AS)	Harminder Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Count the service of the petitioner, from 24.02.03 to 31.01.17 as regular service of the petitioner for retiral and pensionary benefits	12-02-2018	<i>Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated October 10, 2017 (P-6) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order particularly in view of the judgments in case Vijay Singh vs. State of Haryana &amp; ors., CWP No.16817 of 2007 dediced on July 22, 2009 and Sheel Sharma vs. State of Haryana &amp; anr. CWP No.7105 of 2014 decided on July 23, 2015, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case, petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.</i>	08-03-2018	07-06-2018	Directorate	
176	<u>CWP 3194/10</u> PGT-II	Vinod Kumar v/s State & Ors.	To grant Adhoc increment in term of the judgment CWP No.7862/06 Hanumant Singh for the purpose of retiral benefits	10-08-2015	<i>We are informed that the relief claimed in this writ petition is similar to that of CWP No.5117 of 2003 titled as Rajinder Kumar &amp; others Vs. State of Haryana &amp; others, which has been disposed of by a separate order of even date. Accordingly, this writ petition is also disposed of in the same terms.</i>	22-09-2015		Directorate	Documents handover to Legal Cell Haryanaq New Delhi for filing SLP

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
177	<u>CWP 3425/18</u> HR(ME)	Gyano Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	15-02-2018	<i>That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as also her legal notice dated 16.12.2017 (Annexure P-6), within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.</i>	06-03-2018	05-06-2018	Directorate	
178	<u>CWP 3541/99</u> Coordination	Gurmail Chand & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant all benefits of such merger including seniority, avenue of promotion, parity in pay scales etc.	09-02-2017	<i>For detail order see order of even date in CWP-3542-1999(O&amp;M) titled as "Ranjodh Singh and others vs. State of Haryana and others."</i>	25-04-2017		Directorate	File sent to F.D
179	<u>CWP 3542/99</u> Coordination	Ranjodh Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant all benefits of such merger including seniority, avenue of promotion, parity in pay scales etc.	09-02-2017	<i>Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner states that the facts of the present case are covered by the judgment of this Court passed in CWP-10269-2010 titled as "Makhan Singh vs. State of Haryana and another" decided on 23.09.2015 and pray that the present petitions be disposed of in the same term.</i>	25-04-2017		Directorate	File sent to F.D
180	<u>CWP 393/18</u> PGT-II	Smt.Neelam Mann v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	11-01-2018	<i>The competent authority would take up the grievance of the petitioner expressed in the representation and decide it as per policy within one week from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The competent authority would afford an opportunity to the petitioner before taking final decision. The petition stands disposed of with the above directions.</i>	26-02-2018	05-03-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
181	<u>CWP 4049/15</u> PGT-I	Wazir Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT English	13-11-2017	<p><i>For order, see judgment of even date passed in CWP No.25682 of 2014, Arun Singh &amp; others vs. State of Haryana &amp; another.</i></p> <p><i>ORDER IN CWP NO.25682/14</i></p> <p><i>Resultantly, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioners would be entitled for appointment against the vacant seats which were never consumed being in the zone of consideration and accordingly, the writ petitions are allowed. The petitioners shall be offered appointment letters and the State should operate the merit list in the respective categories in the subjects of English, Hindi, and Biology. In case there are persons senior in merit than the petitioners, they will firstly be offered the said posts and in case the vacancies still exist, the petitioners will be accommodated. The necessary exercise be concluded within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the judgment.</i></p>	27-12-2017	26-02-2018	Directorate	
182	<u>CWP 4107/16</u> HR(AS)	Shri Ganga Ram Sanatan Dharma High School, Sargodha, Abmala v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Management of the School run by the petitioner has been ordered to be taken over by way of appointment of Administrator for a period of one year	31-03-2016	<p><i>After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view the facts and circumstances, this petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to file appeal under Section 10(4) of the Act. It is further clarified that if 1 of 2 any such appeal is filed by the petitioner within one week from today, the same shall be decided by the Appellate Authority within two weeks thereafter by passing a speaking order.</i></p>	25-04-2016	21-04-2016	Directorate	Personal hearing on 09.06.16 before W.ACSSE. No next date hearing is fixed



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
183	<u>CWP 4173/18</u> HR(ME)	Shayam Sunder v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant Grade pay of Rs.4200/-on account of promotion as Superintendent	21-02-2018	<i>Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his application (P-4) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules and regulations and instructions issued by the Government from time to time, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. However, in case petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the order passed by the afore-said authorities, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.</i>	13-03-2018	12-06-2018	Directorate	
184	<u>CWP 4233/18</u> HR(ME)	Meena Kumari v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	22-02-2018	<i>That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as also her representation, dated 4.1.2018 (Annexure P-5), within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.</i>	06-03-2018	05-06-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
185	<u>CWP 449/18</u> PGT-I	Indu Bala v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant interest @ 18% per annum on the delayed payment of retiral/pensionary benefits and further to grant 3rd ACP with all other consequential benefits including payment of arrears of pay with interest.	12-01-2018	<i>Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the grant of 3rd ACP scale as claimed by the petitioner in the petition as well as grant of interest on delayed payments in the light of Instructions No.1/2(152) 01-2 FR II dated 20.02.2002 issued by the Government of Haryana, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the aforesaid authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.</i>	09-02-2018	08-05-2018	Directorate	
186	<u>CWP 4589/14</u> PGT-I	Pardeep Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT English	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana</b>
187	<u>CWP 468/18</u> HR(ME)	Balbir Kaur & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularize the services of the petitioners from the date juniors to the petitioners have been regularized i.e.01.04.11 and release all the consequential benefits.	12-01-2018	<i>That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondent authority to consider and decide the notice dated 16.10.2017 (Annexure P6), within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioners is declined, the respondent authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.</i>	23-02-2018	22-05-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
188	<u>CWP 4848/14</u> PGT-I	Surender Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.HTET/STET for the post of PGT Mathematics	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
189	<u>CWP 50/14</u> Aaroahi Cell	Raman Kumari & Ors. PGT English in Aaroahi School v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Extension in Service	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i> <i>CWP NO.7626 OF 2015 ORDERS</i> <i>In view of what has been observed above, Annexures P-9 and P-10 are hereby quashed. Writ petitions are accordingly allowed. The respondents are directed to issue appointment letters to the petitioners by putting a condition in the same manner as has been put while issuing appointment letters to the candidates who have challenged the exemption clause vis-à-vis sitting in HTET/STET examination forthwith. The respondents are also directed to issue re-appointment letters to the petitioners, whose seravices have been dispensed with by putting the same condition.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	LPA filed by the department, the Hon'ble High Court granted stay
190	<u>CWP 5568/14</u> PGT-I	Naresh Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi/English	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
191	<u>CWP 5781/15</u> PGT-I	Subhash Chand v/s State & Ors.	REg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>In view of what has been observed above, the writ petitions are allowed and the directions are issued to the respondents to call the petitioners for counselling and issue the appointment letters to them for the post of PGT in respect of categories for which they have been appeared orinterviewed. The petitioners are also entitled for consequential benefit of seniority.</i>	07-07-2015		Directorate	The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15
192	<u>CWP 5806/13</u> Coordination	Sudesh Kumar, Lect.Hindi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Termination of Service due to not having requisite qualification	06-12-2017	<i>Accordingly, the writ petition is liable to be allowed with costs which are imposed to the tune of Rs.10,000/- upon Smt. Suman Lata, District Education Officer who had filed the reply and has vehemently opposed the reappointment of the petitioner on solely untenable grounds. Accordingly, directions are issued to respondent no. 2 to adjust the petitioner as a guest faculty on the same terms and conditions as earlier within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Preference be given to her appointment in Government Senior Secondary School, Khanpur Kalan as it has been informed by the counsel for the petitioner as per communication dated 05.12.2017 that there are two sanctioned posts available in the said school.</i>	25-01-2018	22-02-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
193	<u>CWP 5972/17</u> PGT-II	Sunil Kumar Bansal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.RTI information regarding surplus of some Lecturer	23-03-2017	<i>Presuming that the observation made by a quasi-judicial authority is in consonance with the actual facts, I dispose of this petition with a direction that in case the petitioner approaches the State Information Officer-cum-Superintendent of the office of Director, Secondary Education, Branch PGT-II, and the Director, School Education, Panchkula, within a period of one month, the information pertaining to points No. 2, 3 and 4 which is alleged to have been supplied to the petitioner on 20.07.2016 (as recorded in order of the State Information Commissioner, Haryana dated 29.08.2016, the information at points No. 2, 3 and 4 will be re-supplied to the petitioner at the cost of the petitioner. In case of non-compliance of this order, it will be open to the petitioner to approach this Court again.</i>	16-05-2017	15-06-2017	Directorate	
194	<u>CWP 6020/15</u> PGT-I	Yatender Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana</b>
195	<u>CWP 6024/15</u> PGT-I	Vinti Gulati v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
196	<u>CWP 604/94</u> HR(AS)	Mahadev & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Release Arrear of pay of account of revision of pay scales	01-10-2014	<i>Petitioners have been found entitled for the requisite amount of grant-in-aid for the posts held by them. Accordingly, respondent no.1 is directed to release the requisite amount of grant-in-aid for the posts held by the petitioners, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, failing which petitioners shall be entitled for receiving the amount of grant-in-aid for the posts held by them, alongwith interest @ 12% p.a. from the date the amount became due till its payment. Resultantly, with the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, instant writ petition stands allowed, however, with no order as to costs.</i>	27-11-2014	26-02-2015	Directorate	Recovery Stayed. State filed LPA No.933/15 filed on 29.06.15 and same is fixed for hearing on 26.10.17
197	<u>CWP 606/18</u> Admn.	Shalini Sharma v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of Legal Assistant	15-01-2018	<i>Learned State counsel submits that the grievance of the petitioner as also the representations, she purports to have made shall be considered and dealt with within a period of two days from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed. The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms. However, it is clarified that in the event, the petitioner is found eligible/suitable, and rather ought to have been called for interview, she be sent a due communication in this regard in time.</i>	23-01-2018	17-01-2018	Directorate	
198	<u>CWP 6169/15</u> PGT-I	Baby Goyal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
199	<u>CWP 6277/15</u> PGT-I	Khazan Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
200	<u>CWP 6289/15</u> PGT-I	Savita Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
201	<u>CWP 630/17</u> Coordination	Rakesh Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg. HTET	17-01-2017	<p><i>In our considered view, unless the Rule is found to be inconsistent with any Constitutional provision or a Statute or against public policy, it would not be expedient for a Writ Court to interfere with it and assume the role of Rule Making Authority. The amendment, substitution or streamlining of the existing provisions, essentially falls within the purview of Rule Making Authority. It is thus not necessary to express any views on merits, rather we deem it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with a</i></p> <p><i>direction to respondent No.1 to entertain this petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioner and consider his grievance in accordance with the State policy. It shall be appreciated if an appropriate decision is taken</i></p> <p><i>within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. The petitioner shall be required to send a copy of the writ petition alongwith certified copy of this order to respondent No.1.</i></p> <p><i>Ordered accordingly.</i></p> <p><i>Further the petitioner belongs to reserve category of Scheduled Caste. He claims that under the Rules there is a provision to give relaxation to the Scheduled Caste and backward class candidates. If such relaxation is permissible qua academic qualification also, the authorities are directed to consider the petitioner's claim for that relaxation as well.</i></p> <p><i>Needless to say that if the petitioner's claim is accepted, then he will also be entitled to call for the interview as per his merit.</i></p> <p><i>Dasti.</i></p> <p><i>(</i></p>	13-02-2017	27-02-2017	Directorate	Relaxation in HTET Marks



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
202	<u>CWP 6381/15</u> PGT-I	Shakuntla Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
203	<u>CWP 6501/14</u> PGT-I	Harvinder Kaushal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the impugned order dt. 18.01.14 (P-12) and notice dated nil (P-13) to the extent of denying counseling for issuing appointment letter to the candidates like the petitioners who have acquired their qualification of Post Graduate from IASE	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
204	<u>CWP 6584/15</u> PGT-I	Balwan Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
205	<u>CWP 66/14</u> HR(G-I)	Rekha Dhariwal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Experience Certificate produced at the time of Appointment as Principal found forged and false		<p><i>These two aspects have neither explained in the written statement nor the learned Assistant Advocate General had been able to show me how the action can be justified. In the circumstances, I have no option but to set aside the impugned order of the termination of the services. However that would not result in automatic release of consequential benefits to the petitioner. It is directed that the respondents would issue her regular chargesheet within 1 month from the date of the receipt of a certified copy of this order. The petitioner would be granted a period of 30 days to file reply. Thereafter the respondents would have 3 effective dates spread over a period of 2 months to lead their evidence and similarly the petitioner would get 3 opportunities spread over 2 months to lead her evidence. The disciplinary proceedings must be completed on or before 31.12.2017 and any consequential relief to the petitioner would be dependent on the order to be passed in the disciplinary proceedings. In case the disciplinary proceedings are not completed on or before 31.12.2017 (subject to the petitioner not obstructing the same) she would be entitled to all consequential benefits of this order setting aside her termination and would be entitled to all retiral benefits also.</i></p> <p><i>The instant petition stands disposed of in the above terms. A copy of this order be handed over the learned Assistant Advocate General as well as learned counsel for the petitioner under the signature of the Bench Secretary so that time limits can be adhered to.</i></p> <p><i>Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc.</i></p> <p><i>Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i></p>	08-06-2017	07-07-2017	Directorate	Charge sheet has been issued. Reply is still awaited from petitioner

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
206	<u>CWP 6602/00</u> HR(G-I)	J.P.Gupta v/s State & Ors.	Appointment of HES-II from waiting list	19-05-2017	<i>It being so, the impugned order dated 12.05.1999 (Annexure P- 5) is hereby quashed. The respondents are ordered to release the amount of gratuity and leave encasement to the petitioner along with interest @ 9% per annum, starting three months from the date of retirement till its payment. Regarding the pay of the suspension period, the respondents are also directed to take a decision within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and follow up action be taken, accordingly. As such, the present petition is allowed.</i>	12-06-2017	11-08-2017	Directorate	
207	<u>CWP 6608/15</u> PGT-I	Mamta Sharma v/s State & Ors.	REg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana</b>
208	<u>CWP 6696/15</u> PGT-I	Shakuntla Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
209	<u>CWP 6779/15</u> PGT-I	Abhilasha Arora v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
210	<u>CWP 6781/15</u> PGT-I	Bela Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
211	<u>CWP 6784/15</u> PGT-I	Surender Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
212	<u>CWP 6835/17</u> HR(ME)	Raghubir Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.ACP/Pay Fixation	31-03-2017	<i>It is stated that the facts of the present case are covered by the decision of this Court in the matter of Rajender Parshad Vs. State of Haryana and others, passed in C.W.P. No.2606 of 2017, decided on 13.02.2017 (Annexure P-10). In the circumstances, the petition stands disposed of in the same terms as in C.W.P. No.2606 of 2017. Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	26-05-2017	25-08-2017	Directorate	13/93-217 ME

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
213	<u>CWP 6901/15</u> PGT-I	Phool Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
214	<u>CWP 6904/15</u> PGT-I	Anil Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Sanskrit	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
215	<u>CWP 700/18</u> HR(ME)	Chandro & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	16-01-2018	<i>In conspectus of the above, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondent authority to consider the notice served by the petitioners, dated 25.10.2017 (Annexure P-6), within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioners is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.</i>	13-02-2018	20-04-2018	Directorate	
216	<u>CWP 7057/15</u> PGT-I	Dhanpat Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
217	<u>CWP 7145/15</u> PGT-I	Rajesh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
218	<u>CWP 7626/15</u> PGT-I	Gurmail Kaur & Ors v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	27-05-2015	<i>In view of what has been observed above, Annexures P-9 and P-10 are hereby quashed. Writ petitions are accordingly allowed. The respondents are directed to issue appointment letters to the petitioners by putting a condition in the same manner as has been put while issuing appointment letters to the candidates who have challenged the exemption clause vis-à-vis sitting in HTET/STET examination forthwith. The respondents are also directed to issue re-appointment letters to the petitioners, whose services have been dispensed with by putting the same condition.</i>	16-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15
219	<u>CWP 772/18</u> HR(ME)	Bhagwanti & Anr. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.regularization of Service	17-01-2018	<i>In conspectus of the above, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondent authority to consider the notice served by the petitioners, dated 15.10.2017 (Annexure P6), within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioners is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.</i>	26-02-2018	23-04-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
220	<u>CWP 7766/16</u> PGT-I	Sonu Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT English	13-11-2017	<p><i>For order, see judgment of even date passed in CWP No.25682 of 2014, Arun Singh &amp; others Vs. State of Haryana &amp; another.</i></p> <p><i>ORDER IN CWP No.25682 of 2014,</i></p> <p><i>Resultantly, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioners would be entitled for appointment against the vacant seats which were never consumed being in the zone of consideration and accordingly, the writ petitions are allowed. The petitioners shall be offered appointment letters and the State should operate the merit list in the respective categories in the subjects of English, Hindi, and Biology. In case there are persons senior in merit than the petitioners, they will firstly be offered the said posts and in case the vacancies still exist, the petitioners will be accommodated. The necessary exercise be concluded within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the judgment.</i></p>	01-12-2017	31-01-2018	Directorate	
221	<u>CWP 7773/15</u> PGT-I	Parmila Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<p><i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i></p>	13-07-2015		Directorate	<p><b>Deemed University Matter</b>  <b>The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana</b></p>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
222	<u>CWP 7872/15</u> PGT-I	Uma Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	29-06-2015	<i>The present writ petition is allowed in terms of order dated 04.06.2015 passed in CWP No.5781 of 2015 titled as Subhash Chand vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	09-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
223	<u>C.M 8098/15 in CWP No.23933/13</u> HR(PS)	Shriom v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Forced to petitioner to purchase the books and note books	10-03-2017	<i>In view of the above, let the petitioner now submit a representation to the Divisional Commissioner, Ambala within a period of two weeks from today. If such a representation is made, the same shall be considered and decided by the Committee within a period of eight weeks from the date of submission of the representation. Decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith. Application stands disposed of accordingly. Copy of the order be given dasti to the counsel for the parties under signatures of the Bench Secretary of this Court.</i>	31-03-2017	20-05-2017	Directorate	Matter is to be decided by FFRC Ambala
224	<u>CWP 811/18</u> HR(ME)	Sadagar Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	17-01-2018	<i>In conspectus of the above, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondent authority to consider the notice served by the petitioners, dated 17.11.2017 (Annexure P7), within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioners is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.</i>	19-02-2018	16-04-2018	Directorate	



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
225	<u>CWP 8113/17</u> PGT-I	Krishan Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Step up of pay	21-04-2017	<i>In this view of the matter, we dispose of this writ petition without expressing any views on merits, with a direction to the authorities to examine the claim of the petitioners as contained in the above-mentioned legal notice and decide the same by way of reasoned order within a period of six months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.</i>	15-06-2017	14-12-2017	Directorate	
226	<u>CWP 8268/17</u> PGT-II	Aruna Bhatia v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	24-04-2017	<i>Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner though we do not find any ground to interfere with the transfer policy, nevertheless, keeping in view the mitigating circumstances highlighted by the petitioner, it appears expedient to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent Nos.2 &amp; 3 to consider the petitioner's above-mentioned request sympathetically and see the desirability of transferring her at the place where she can properly look-after her husband. It shall be appreciated if some decision is taken within a period of one month from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.</i>	30-08-2017	29-09-2017	Directorate	
227	<u>CWP 8297/14</u> PGT-I	Pawan Kumar, v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	27-05-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.7626 of 2015 (Gurmail Kaur &amp; others Versus State of Haryana &amp; another), decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	22-06-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
228	<u>CWP 8437/15</u> PGT-I	Bharat Bhushan & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi, Eng & Mathematics	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
229	<u>CWP 8609/14</u> HR(AS)	Managing Committee MLA Sr.Sec.School Jatauli v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Release Grant-in-Aid	30-05-2017	<i>Respondent-Institution is hereby directed to release HRA to the petitioner immediately. Insofar as reimbursement from the Government,, they are directed to move necessary application or reminder to the concerned State-respondent. If such reminder/application is moved by the respondent-institution to the Government, concerned respondent is hereby directed to decide the Institution's application for grant in aid within a period of 3 months from today. With the above observation petition stands disposed of.</i>	13-02-2018	29-08-2017	Directorate	
230	<u>CWP 8757/16</u> PGT-II	Rajesh Kumar (Lect.in Pol.Sci.) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the impugned order of adjustment/posting order which he has been adjusted/posted showing zero student strength	06-05-2016	<i>With regard to his grievance, the petitioner made a representation dated 02.04.2016 (Annexure P-8) to the respondents, but no action has been taken thereon till date. At this stage, this petition is disposed of by giving a direction to the respondents to look into the representation dated 02.04.2016 (Annexure P-8) and decide the same in accordance with law. Till the decision is taken on by the respondents, operation of the impugned order dated 01.04.2016 (Annexure P-6) shall remain stayed.</i>	16-05-2016		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
231	<u>CWP 8942/16</u> PGT-II	Umakand Walia v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of PGT Political Science	01-06-2016	<i>Learned State counsel informed that vide letter dated 01.06.2016 it has been decided by the department that the candidates having the qualification of MA in Public Administration will be considered for promotion for the post of Lecturer in Political Science and if the name of the petitioners found in the zone of consideration, their case will not be ignored for promotion. The respondents will now consider the case of the petitioners for promotion with respect to the dates when their junior have been promoted, without consequential benefits. The petition is disposed of with direction as aforesaid.</i>	21-06-2016		Directorate	
232	<u>CWP 9035/14</u> PGT-I	Shikha Sharma v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment/S election for the post of PGT Mathematics	27-05-2015	<i>he writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana</b>
233	<u>CWP 9153/17</u> PEN-II	Murli Dhar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg. The qualifying service should be treated from the date of their joining the service, in terms of a Division Bench judgment of this Court, passed in LPA-1318-2015, titled as State of Haryana and others Parkash Chand Sharma and others, alongwith connected cases, decided on 21.3.2017	01-05-2017	<i>In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to consider the legal notice dated 12.7.2016 (Annexure-P-8) of the petitioners and decide the same after considering the Division Bench judgment of this Court in Parkash Chand Sharma's case (supra) and other relevant law on the point, within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.</i>	19-05-2017	18-07-2017	Directorate	<b>Case put up to Higher Authority</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
234	<u>CWP 9253/14</u> PGT-I	Abheyender Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Select/Appoint for the post of PGT English	27-05-2015	<i>he writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
235	<u>CWP 9337/17</u> PGT-II	Asha Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Step up of pay	18-01-2018	<i>In response, learned State counsel submits that the claim as also the grievance of the petitioner, as set out in the petition, shall be considered and dealt with within a period of three months from today and appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed. That being so, the petition is disposed of in the above terms. Needless to assert that in the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.</i>	26-02-2018	17-04-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
236	<u>CWP 946/17</u> Coordination	Satish Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.HTET	19-01-2017	<i>In our considered view, unless the rule is found to be inconsistent with any Constitutional provision or a Statute or against public policy, it would not be expedient for a Writ Court to interfere with it and assume the role of Rule Making Authority. The amendment, substitution or streamlining of the existing provisions, is essentially an exercise within the purview of Rule Making Authority. It is thus not necessary to express any views on merits, rather we deem it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent No.1 to entertain this petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioner and consider his grievance in accordance with the State policy. It shall be appreciated if the appropriate decision is taken within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. The petitioner shall be required to send a copy of the writ petition alongwith certified copy of this order to respondent No.1.</i> <i>Ordered</i>	14-03-2017	13-07-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
237	<u>LPA 975/15 in CWP No.2473/14 HR(AS)</u>	Arya High School Trust & Management Society v/s Sushila Devi	Reg.Payment of Arrear of pay along with interest	20-09-2016	<p><i>As regard to respondent No.2, we have heard learned counsel for the parties at a considerable length. It is not in dispute that the orders passed by the District Education Officer, Haryana and Director, Secondary Education, Haryana in their appellate capacities, are separately under challenge at the instance of the management in CWP Nos.24873 &amp; 25087 of 2015, which is still pending and since the legality of those orders is yet to be determined by this Court, we find no justification to accept the claim for consequential benefits at the instance of respondent No.2, at this stage. Till the above-cited writ petitions are decided on merits, such a claim being premature, respondent No.2 is held entitled to arrears of pay only w.e.f. the date of the order of learned Single Judge in these proceedings i.e. from 01.04.2015 onwards. These directions are without prejudice to the rights of the parties which are sub-judice in above-stated pending writ petitions.</i></p> <p><i>(10) With these observations and directions, the instant appeal stands disposed of.</i></p>	29-11-2016		Directorate	4/30-14 AS(2) Write to DEO Rohtak vide letter No.4/82-15 AS (4) dt. 04.5.17 for compliance the court orders and reminder also issued on 29.05.17

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
238	<u>CWP 9887/13</u> Aarohi Cell	Dr.Rakesh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of Principal	11-03-2015	<p><i>Since this Court has already directed that one post under the SC Category be kept reserved, the respondents are directed to allow the petitioner to take the examination in respect of the advertised posts of Principal. The respondents are also directed to take further steps in view of what has been observed above and make arrangement for conducting the examination of the petitioner, so that he would be able to take the same.</i></p> <p><i>It is expected that the respondents authorities would conduct the examination as early as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.</i></p> <p><i>With the above observations, the writ petition stands disposed of.</i></p>	16-04-2015	15-06-2015	Directorate	